# Review for Final 

Also:
Review homework problems

## Example: Binary star system in Virgo cluster (16.5 Mpc away) would produce $h \sim 10^{-21}$. Over a distance of $L=1$ $A U, \Delta L$ would be $\sim 1$ atomic diameter.

## Gravitational-wave Sources for Ground-based Detectors




Signal Duration

## Gravitational-wave Spectrum



## What is a tensor?

Scalar - tensor rank 0, magnitude, ex: Temperature Vector - tensor rank 1, magnitude and direction, ex: Force Tensor - combination of vectors where there is a fixed relationship, independent of coordinate system; ex: Dot product, work

$$
T^{m n}=A^{m} B^{n}
$$

Principle of relativity - "Physics equations should be covariant under coordinate transformation."

To ensure that this is automatically satisfied, write physics equations in terms of tensors.

## Einstein Summation Convention

Repeated indices imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\mu} B_{\mu} & =\sum_{\mu=0}^{3} A^{\mu} B_{\mu}=A^{0} B_{0}+A^{1} B_{1}+A^{2} B_{2}+A^{3} B_{3} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
B_{0} & B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
A^{0} \\
A^{1} \\
A^{2} \\
A^{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Free index - appears exactly once in every term of equation

Dummy index - appears exactly twice in one given term of equation but only once in equation

## The Metric of Curved Space: General Relativity

General relativity as a geometric theory of gravity posits that matter and energy cause spacetime to warp so that

$$
g_{\mu \nu} \neq \eta_{\mu \nu}
$$

Thus gravitational phenomena are just effects of a curved spacetime on a test particle.
Source particle $\underset{\substack{\text { Field } \\ \text { equation }}}{ }$ Field $\xrightarrow[\begin{array}{c}\text { Equation } \\ \text { of motion }\end{array}]{ }$ Test Particle
Source $\xrightarrow[\begin{array}{c}\text { Einstein } \\ \text { Field } \\ \text { equation }\end{array}]{ }$ Curved spacetime $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Geodesic } \\ \text { equation }}]{ }$ Test Particle

## Tensor Calculus: Covariant Derivative

Ordinary derivatives of tensor components are not tensors.
The combination $\partial_{\nu} A^{\mu}$ does not transform properly.

$$
\partial_{\nu} A^{\mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\nu}^{\prime} A^{\prime \mu} \neq \frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\prime \nu}} \frac{\partial x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\rho}} \partial_{\lambda} A^{\rho}
$$

We seek a covariant derivative $\nabla_{\nu}$ to be used in covariant physics equations. Such a differentiation is constructed so that when acting on tensor components it still yields a tensor.

$$
\nabla_{\nu} A^{\mu} \rightarrow \nabla_{\nu}^{\prime} A^{\prime \mu}=\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\prime \nu}} \frac{\partial x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\rho}} \nabla_{\lambda} A^{\rho}
$$

In order to produce the covariant derivative, the ordinary derivative must be supplemented by another term:

$$
\nabla_{\nu} A^{\mu}=\partial_{\nu} A^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} A^{\lambda} \quad \nabla_{\nu} A_{\mu}=\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}-\Gamma_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda} A_{\lambda}
$$

## The Geodesic

Mathematical expression for parallel transport of vector components is

$$
\nabla A^{\mu}=d A^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} A^{\nu} d x^{\lambda}=0
$$

The process of parallel transporting a vector $A^{\mu}$ along a curve $x^{\mu}(\sigma)$ can be expressed according to:

$$
\frac{d A^{\mu}}{d \sigma}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} A^{\nu} \frac{d x^{\lambda}}{d \sigma}=0
$$

But the geodesic is a curve for which the tangent vector parallel transports itself, i.e.:

$$
A^{\mu}=\frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \sigma}
$$

Thus, the geodesic equation is: $\frac{d^{2} x^{\mu}}{d \sigma^{2}}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} \frac{d x^{\nu}}{d \sigma} \frac{d x^{\lambda}}{d \sigma}=0$

## Curvature and the Riemann Tensor

Local Lorentz Frame: effects of curvature become noticeable when taking second derivatives.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\nabla_{\alpha}, \nabla_{\beta}\right] A^{\mu}=\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} A^{\mu}-\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} A^{\mu} \equiv R_{\lambda \alpha \beta}^{\mu} A^{\lambda}} \\
R_{\lambda \alpha \beta}^{\mu}=\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\lambda \beta}^{\mu}-\partial_{\beta} \Gamma_{\lambda \alpha}^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\nu \alpha}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \beta}^{\nu}-\Gamma_{\nu \beta}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \alpha}^{\nu}
\end{gathered}
$$

In Local Lorentz Frame:

$$
R_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\alpha} g_{\nu \beta}-\partial_{\nu} \partial_{\alpha} g_{\mu \beta}+\partial_{\nu} \partial_{\beta} g_{\mu \alpha}-\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\beta} g_{\nu \alpha}\right)
$$

Form of Riemann Tensor: $R=d \Gamma+\Gamma \Gamma \longrightarrow \partial^{2} g+(\partial g)^{2}$
In flat space, the first and second derivatives of the metric vanish.

$$
R_{\lambda \alpha \beta}^{\mu}=0 \text { implies flat space } .
$$

## Motivating Einstein Equations

$$
G_{\mu \nu}=8 \pi G T_{\mu \nu} \quad ? \quad R_{\mu \nu}=8 \pi G T_{\mu \nu}
$$

We need the spacetime curvature term on the left. Einstein thought it should be the Ricci curvature tensor. But there is a problem.

Due to energy conservation:

$$
\nabla T_{\mu \nu}=0
$$

But the derivative of Ricci tensor does not equal zero as can be seen with the Bianchi Identities. Instead, what is found is

$$
\nabla^{\mu}\left(R_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R\right)=0 \quad G_{\mu \nu} \equiv R_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R
$$

Einstein tensor

## Methods

Solving Einstein's equations is difficult. They're non-linear. In fact, the equations of motion are impossible to solve unless there is some symmetry present.

In the absence of symmetry, there are two methods:

1. Numerical relativity (next time)
2. Approximation techniques

For the approximation technique, we consider a metric very close to flat space with a small perturbation. And we consider only first order perturbations.

## Linearized Theory of Metric Field

And impose the harmonic gauge, then the last three terms in previous equation vanish and we end up with the Linearized Einstein Equations

$$
\square \bar{h}_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{16 \pi G}{c^{4}} T_{\mu \nu}
$$

## Solution in a Vacuum

What happens outside the source, where $T_{\mu \nu}=0$ ?
Then, the EFE reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\square \bar{h}_{\mu \nu} & =0 \\
\left(-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \partial t^{2}+\nabla^{2}\right) \bar{h}_{\mu \nu} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Wave equation for waves propagating at speed of light c!
Solutions to wave equation can be written as superpositions of plane waves traveling with wave vectors $\vec{k}$ and frequency

$$
\omega=c|\vec{k}|
$$

## Solution with Source

Now allow for source. What would cause the waves to be generated?

$$
\square \bar{h}_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{16 \pi G}{c^{4}} T_{\mu \nu}
$$

Solve using retarded Green's function assuming no incoming radiation from infinity. The solution is

$$
\bar{h}_{\mu \nu}(t, \vec{x})=\frac{4 G}{c^{4}} \int d^{3} x^{\prime} \frac{1}{\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}^{\prime}\right|} T_{\mu \nu}\left(t-\frac{\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}^{\prime}\right|}{c}, \vec{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Generation of Gravitational Waves

To leading order in $v / c$, we can eliminate the multipole moments in favor of the mass moments to get a solution of the form:

$$
\left[h_{i j}^{\mathrm{TT}}(t, \vec{x})\right]_{\mathrm{quad}}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{2 G}{c^{4}} \Lambda_{i j, k l}(\hat{n}) \ddot{M}^{k l}(t-r / c)
$$

where we have used: $\quad S^{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \ddot{M}^{i j}$

Mass quadrupole radiation!

## Effect of Gravitational Waves on Matter

$h_{+}$polarization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta x(t)=\frac{h_{+}}{2} x_{0} \cos (\omega t) \\
& \delta y(t)=-\frac{h_{+}}{2} y_{0} \cos (\omega t)
\end{aligned}
$$


$h_{x}$ polarization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta x(t)=-\frac{h_{\times}}{2} y_{0} \cos (\omega t) \\
& \delta y(t)=-\frac{h_{\times}}{2} x_{0} \cos (\omega t)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Noise spectral density

$S_{n}(f)$ is the noise spectral density (aka noise spectral sensitivity or noise power spectrum):

$$
\left\langle n^{2}(t)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{\infty} d f S_{n}(f)
$$



## Interferometric GW

## Detector Pattern Functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{+}(\theta, \phi ; \psi=0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \cos 2 \phi \\
F_{\times}(\theta, \phi ; \psi=0)=\cos \theta \sin 2 \phi
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus GW interferometers have blind directions. For instance, for a GW with plus polarization,


$$
\phi=\pi / 4 \text { and } F_{+}=0
$$

This wave produces the same displacement in the $y$ and $x$ arm.

Differential phase shift vanishes!

## Define the signal-to-noise ratio...

Using this scalar product definition, we have:

$$
\frac{S}{N}=\frac{(u \mid h)}{(u \mid u)^{1 / 2}} \quad \text { where } \quad \tilde{u}(f)=\frac{1}{2} S_{n}(f) \tilde{K}(f)
$$

We are searching for vector $u /(u \mid u)^{1 / 2}$ such that its scalar product with vector $h$ is maximum.

They should be parallel (i.e. proportional): $\tilde{K}(f)=$ const. $\frac{\tilde{h}(f)}{S_{n}(f)}$
This is the Wiener filter (aka matched filter).

## Burst Signals

- GW searches with minimal assumptions
- Do not assume accurate source models - affected by noise
- Limited ability to measure waveforms, sky positions, polarizations
- Can detect the unexpected
Heavy stellar binary
black holes
Eccentric binary black
holes
Supernovae
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## Continuous Gravitational Wave

## Sources

Non-axisymmetric rotating neutron stars; asymmetry could arise from:

- equatorial ellipticity (mm-high mountain) $\quad f_{\mathrm{GW}}=2 f_{\text {rot }}$
- free precession around rotation axis
- excitation of long-lasting oscillations
- deformation due to matter accretion

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\mathrm{GW}} \sim f_{\mathrm{rot}}+f_{\mathrm{prec}} \\
f_{\mathrm{GW}} \sim 4 / 3 f_{\mathrm{rot}} \\
f_{\mathrm{GW}}=2 f_{\mathrm{rot}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Neutron star spins


## What is a stochastic background?

- Stochastic (random) background of gravitational radiation
- Can arise from superposition of large number of unresolved GW sources

1. Cosmological origin
2. Astrophysical origin

- Strength of background measured as gravitational wave energy density $\rho_{\mathrm{GW}}$


## Detecting Stochastic Backgrounds

The filter function has the form:

$$
\tilde{Q}(f)=N \frac{\gamma(f) \Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f) H_{0}^{2}}{f^{3} P_{1}(f) P_{2}(f)}
$$

overlap reduction function: $\gamma(f)$
power law template for GW spectrum: $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f)=\Omega_{\alpha}(f / 100 \mathrm{~Hz})^{\alpha}$ present value of Hubble parameter: $H_{0}$
noise in detector 1: $P_{1}(f)$
noise in detector 2: $P_{2}(f)$
Purpose: Enhance SNR at frequencies where signal is strong and suppress SNR at frequencies where detector noise is large.

## Overlap Reduction Function

 Signal in two detectors will not be exactly the same because:i) time delay between detectors
ii) non-alignment of detector


## Michelson interferometer



## Interferometric GW detection



- Michelson interferometer is a natural fit for measuring gravitational waves: GW cause a differential change of arm length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{x} & =(1+h / 2) L \\
L_{y} & =(1-h / 2) L \\
\Delta \phi & =2 k\left(L_{x}-L_{y}\right)=2 k h L
\end{aligned}
$$

- Idea first proposed by Braginsky, first technical feasibility study by R. Weiss (1972)
- Note: interferometers measure the amplitude of the GW and not the power, so dependency on source distance is $1 / R$ instead of $1 / R^{\wedge} 2$
- A simple Michelson is not sensitive enough to detect GW, need several extra tricks .


## Bayes' Theorem

Given:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(A \cap B)=P(A \mid B) P(B) \\
P(B \cap A)=P(B \mid A) P(A) \\
A \cap B=B \cap A
\end{gathered}
$$

We can derive Bayes' Theorem:

$$
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(B \mid A) P(A)}{P(B)}
$$

$A=$ hypothesis (or parameters or theory)
$B=$ data
$P$ (hypothesis $\mid$ data $) \propto P$ (data|hypothesis) $P$ (hypothesis)

## More on Bayes' Theorem

Initial Understanding + New Observation = Updated Understanding

Likelihood
function

## Prior probability

Posterior probability


Evidence

## The likelihood function: the data

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{t} \equiv h\left(\theta_{t}\right) \\
\Lambda\left(s \mid \theta_{t}\right)=\mathcal{N} \exp \left\{\left(h_{t} \mid s\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{t} \mid h_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(s \mid s)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

In this form, information might not be very manageable.

For binary coalescence there could be more than 15 parameters $\theta^{i}$


## The evidence: model selection

$$
p\left(h^{\prime} \mid d, M\right)=\frac{p\left(d \mid h^{\prime}, M\right) p\left(h^{\prime} \mid M\right)}{p(d \mid M)}
$$

$M$ : any overall assumption or model (e.g. the signal is a GW, the binary black hole is spin-precessing, the binary components are neutron stars)

Odds Ratio: Compare competing models, for example "GW170817 was a BNS" vs "GW170817 was a BBH":

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{i j} & =\frac{p\left(M_{i} \mid d\right)}{p\left(M_{j} \mid d\right)} \\
& =\frac{p\left(M_{i}\right) p\left(d \mid M_{i}\right)}{p\left(M_{j}\right) p\left(d \mid M_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## What is the most probable value of the parameters, $\theta_{t}$ ?

A rule for assigning the most probable value is called an estimator. Choices of estimators include:

1. Maximum likelihood estimator
2. Maximum posterior probability
3. Bayes estimator

## Confidence versus Credibility

Consider variable with bounded domain like a mass or rate. We can accommodate the physical constraint with a prior.
Example: square of mass of electron neutrino




During inspiral, phase evolution $\phi_{\mathrm{GW}}\left(t ; m_{1,2}, \mathbf{S}_{1,2}\right)$ can be computed with PN-theory in powers of $v / c$.
leading order

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{c}=\frac{\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{3 / 5}}{M^{1 / 5}} \\
& \simeq \frac{c^{3}}{G}\left[\frac{5}{96} \pi^{-8 / 3} f^{-11 / 3} \dot{f}\right]^{3 / 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

higher order

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
q=\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}} \leq 1 & S_{1 x}, S_{1 y}, S_{1 z} \\
\mathbf{S}_{1,2} \| \mathbf{L} & S_{2 x}, S_{2 y}, S_{2 z}
\end{array}
$$

even higher order

## Constraints on Lorentz violations

> In GR, GWs are nondispersive.

But modifications to
 the dispersion relation can arise in theories that include violations of local Lorentz invariance.


Thus, modified propagation of GWs can be mapped to Lorentz violation.

## Gravitational-wave Polarizations



Alternate theories allow for up to four additional vector and scalar modes.

In principle, full generic metric theories predict any combination of tensor, vector or scalar polarizations.

