Calculation of TMD Evolution for Transverse Single Spin Asymmetry Measurements
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The Sivers transverse single spin asymmetry (TSSA) is calculated and compared at different scales using the TMD evolution equations applied to previously existing extractions. We apply the Collins-Soper-Sterman (CSS) formalism, using the version recently developed by Collins. Our calculations rely on the universality properties of TMD-functions that follow from the TMD-factorization theorem. Accordingly, the non-perturbative input is fixed by earlier experimental measurements, including both polarized semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) and unpolarized Drell-Yan (DY) scattering. It is shown that recent preliminary COMPASS measurements are consistent with the suppression prescribed by TMD evolution.

Conventional collinear perturbative QCD (pQCD), when applied in its range of applicability, has proven successful for over three decades [1]. Along the way, it has illustrated the importance of evolution for relating physical observables to fundamental quark-gluon QCD degrees of freedom in a unified formalism. The classic applications of pQCD require parametrizations of collinear parton distribution functions (PDFs), wherein all intrinsic transverse momentum of the confined partons is neglected inside the hard part of the collision and integrated over in the definitions of the PDFs. The PDFs contain information about the intrinsic non-perturbative structure of the hadron and have clear operator definitions with well-understood scale dependence (QCD evolution).

An important next step is to achieve a similarly successful application of perturbative QCD that takes into account the emerging picture of the hadron as a three-dimensional dynamical object composed of partons with their own intrinsic motion. In such studies, the relevant observables are not properly handled by standard collinear factorization, and one is confronted with the objects like TMD parton distribution functions (TMD PDFs) and TMD fragmentation functions (FFs). (Collectively, we refer to such objects as “TMDs”)

These, like their collinear counterparts, describe the non-perturbative properties of the external hadrons; but, unlike the collinear PDFs, the TMDs also account for the intrinsic transverse Fermi motion of the bound partons.

Several experimental facilities, including HERMES (DESY), COMPASS (CERN) and JLab, explore these distributions. Moreover, the future 12 GeV JLab upgrade and a planned Electron Ion Collider (EIC) [2] will provide new opportunities to experimentally probe hadron structure. A particularly interesting TMD PDF is the so-called Sivers function, which is interpreted as the probability density for finding a parton with a given transverse and longitudinal momentum inside a transversely polarized hadron (usually proton) target. That it arises at leading power in pQCD is due to interesting non-perturbative aspects of QCD related to time reversal and parity invariance. In polarized SIDIS, it gives a $\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)$ azimuthal modulation to the differential cross section, $\phi_S$ and $\phi_h$ being the azimuthal angles of the initial transverse hadron spin and the final state hadron transverse momentum respectively. This letter will focus on a comparison of recent theoretical treatments of the Sivers function with recently available experimental data on TSSAs in SIDIS, and predict the size of the asymmetry for future extractions at larger $Q$.

Like the collinear PDFs, TMDs evolve with the hard scale $Q$. To properly account for this it is imperative to work in a QCD factorization treatment that incorporates well-defined TMDs. The original TMD-factorization formalism was developed by Collins, Soper, and Sterman [3–5] in the context of $e^+e^-$-annihilation to back-to-back jets and the unpolarized Drell-Yan process. The CSS formalism was later extended by Ji, Ma and Yuan to SIDIS in Ref. [6], and to include polarization in Ref. [7]. The application of Collins-Soper (CS) evolution was extended to the spin-dependent case by Idilbi et al in Ref. [8]. Furthermore, an implementation of a CS-style evolution has been applied in Ref. [9] to the calculation of TSSAs by including a Sudakov form factor, leading to approximate power-law $Q$-behavior for the peak of the asymmetry.

For quite some time, however, a satisfactory treatment of TMD-factorization remained incomplete because of a lack of good definitions for the TMD PDFs and FFs themselves [10,11]. The most commonly quoted definitions suffered from unphysical divergences, rendering it unclear which objects should be used in parametrizations of experimental data and treated as universal in the usual sense of a factorization theorem. This is particularly problematic for studies that purport to extract properties intrinsic to the hadrons. Recently, a complete TMD-factorization derivation, in terms of well-defined TMDs with individual evolution properties, was presented by Collins in Ref. [14]. Refs. [12,13] further illustrated how the formalism can be used to obtain evolved TMDs from fixed-scale fits for unpolarized TMDs and the Sivers function.
existing extractions of the Sivers function using the TSSA, $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$, have been performed using experimental data at fixed scales $^{17,22}$. these extractions provide interesting information about TMD effects at the fixed scales where they are performed; however, without a reliable way to evolve them to different scales, their predictive power remains limited.

the purpose of this letter is to demonstrate that by using QCD evolved TMDs one can explain an observed discrepancy between HERMES and COMPASS data and for the first time make predictions for upcoming experiments at higher energy scales on the basis of a complete and correct treatment of evolution for the TMDs.

Definitions and Notation: The differential cross section for SIDIS, $l(l') + N(S,l') \rightarrow l(l') + h(P_h) + X$ is 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzd\phi_hd\phi_Sp_{h\perp}dp_{h\perp}} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{2zQ^4}ML_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

where $P_{h\perp}$ is the transverse momentum of the final state hadron $h$, and where we utilize the standard kinematical variables: $q^2 = -Q^2$, $x = Q^2/2P \cdot q$, $y = P \cdot q/P \cdot l$, $z = P \cdot P_h/P \cdot q$. the TMD-factorization formula for SIDIS in terms of well-defined TMD PDFs is $^{14}$

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \sum_f |H_f(Q^2,\mu)|^{\mu\nu} \times \int d^2p_Td^2K_T\zeta^{(2)}(zp_T + KT - P_{h\perp}) \times \tilde{F}_{f/P'}(x, zp_T, S; \mu, \zeta_T)D_{h/f}(z, KT; \mu, \zeta_D) + Y(P_{h\perp}, Q), \tag{2}$$

where all non-perturbative information is encoded in the TMD PDF $\tilde{F}_{f/P'}$, and the TMD FF $D_{h/f}$ while $|H_f(Q^2,\mu)|^{\mu\nu}$ is a perturbatively calculable hard part. the Y$(P_{h\perp}, Q)$-term gives the correct treatment of the cross section at high $P_{h\perp} \sim Q$ in terms of collinear factorization. as is common, the renormalization scale is set to $\mu = Q$. the parameters $\zeta_T, \zeta_D$, which are related to the regularization of rapidity divergences, obey $\zeta_T, \zeta_D \sim Q^4$.

the Sivers asymmetry is defined as the ratio of cross section combinations:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} = \frac{\int d\phi_H d\phi_S 2 \sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)(\sigma(\phi_h, \phi_S) - \sigma(\phi_h, \phi_S + \pi))}{\int d\phi_H d\phi_S (\sigma(\phi_h, \phi_S) + \sigma(\phi_h, \phi_S + \pi)).} \tag{3}$$

in the numerator, the integration over azimuthal angles with a $\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)$ weighting factor projects out the Sivers effect. the numerator and denominator may also be integrated over $x, z$ and/or $P_{h\perp}$ depending on the particular combination of variables one is interested in.

the asymmetry is obtained by applying the TMD-factorization in Eq. (2) to obtain cross sections in Eq. (3). the calculations themselves are typically done in transverse coordinate $b_T$-space in terms of perturbative but universal and scale-independent functions $\gamma_K(\mu')$ and $\gamma_T(\mu')$. Using QCD evolved TMDs one can explain an observed discrepancy with a sin($\phi_h - \phi_S$) weighting factor. the numerator and denominator may also be integrated over $x, z$ and/or $P_{h\perp}$ depending on the particular combination of variables one is interested in.

the asymmetry is obtained by applying the TMD-factorization in Eq. (2) to obtain cross sections in Eq. (3). the calculations themselves are typically done in transverse coordinate $b_T$-space in terms of perturbative but universal and scale-independent functions $\gamma_K(\mu')$ and $\gamma_T(\mu')$. Using QCD evolved TMDs one can explain an observed discrepancy with a sin($\phi_h - \phi_S$) weighting factor. the numerator and denominator may also be integrated over $x, z$ and/or $P_{h\perp}$ depending on the particular combination of variables one is interested in.

the asymmetry is obtained by applying the TMD-factorization in Eq. (2) to obtain cross sections in Eq. (3).
was not available at that time. We note that the analysis of Ref. [22] also uses deuteron data [32] from the COMPASS experiment, which corresponds to higher values of $Q^2$. However, the COMPASS asymmetry [32] on deuteron target is very small due to strong cancellations between the up and down quark Sivers functions and thus is not heavily affected by the evolution. We have verified that the results of the Torino fits are negligibly altered if the deuterium data are excluded and only HERMES data [27] are used in the fit, and the main result of our present analysis is not affected.

Our calculations will follow the steps of Ref. [10]. For $g_K$, we use the functional form $g_K = \frac{1}{2}g_2b_2^2$ with $g_2 = 0.08$ GeV$^{-2}$ [33], which was obtained by fits performed using Drell-Yan data. In Eq. (3), this corresponds to using $C_1 = 1.123$ and $b_{max} = 0.5$ GeV$^{-1}$. All anomalous dimensions and $\bar{K}$ are calculated to lowest non-vanishing order as in Refs. [1-4].

In Fig. 1(a, b), we show the evolution of the full TMD-factorization approach as expressed in Eq. (4), where the evolution is due to the terms in the exponential. The evolution is applied to the most recent Torino fits [22] as a function $z$ and $P_{h_{\perp}}$, and use hard scales corresponding to both HERMES data [27] and recent preliminary COMPASS data [28]. The result of the evolution is compared with the data. The $x$-dependent asymmetry is not ideal for the comparison because there are strong correlations between $x$ and $Q^2$. (Recall $Q^2 \simeq xg_s$.) However, $z$ or $P_{h_{\perp}}$ dependent asymmetries are measured at almost the same hard scales, namely $(Q^2)_{\text{HERMES}} \simeq 2.4$ GeV$^2$ and $(Q^2)_{\text{COMPASS}} \simeq 3.8$ GeV$^2$, so we focus on the Sivers asymmetry as a function of these variables. (For the preliminary $h^+$ COMPASS data that we use, $(Q^2)$ varies between $3.63$ GeV$^2$ and $3.88$ GeV$^2$, in the range of $z$ from 0.2 to 0.7. The corresponding variation in our calculation is negligible relative to the variation between the HERMES and preliminary COMPASS data sets.) We observe that including QCD evolution leads to excellent consistency between the HERMES [27] and preliminary COMPASS data [28], without the need for further fitting. A critical point is that the information about the non-perturbative evolution contained in $g_K$ is taken from the measurement of a totally different observable, at much higher energy scales [32] (unpolarized Drell-Yan scattering up to Tevatron energies). In Fig. 1(b) we show a similar plot but for the $P_{h_{\perp}}$ dependence. That the same $g_K$ successfully describes TSSA at HERMES and COMPASS is compelling evidence for the universality of $g_K$ predicted by the TMD-factorization theorem.

In Fig. 2, we show the evolution of the full asymmetry to higher values of $Q^2$. The precise quantity plotted is the asymmetry given in Eq. (3) as a function of $z$, integrated over $x$, $y$ and $P_T$. Note that, although Refs. [15, 16] report a strong suppression of the unpolarized TMDs and the Sivers function itself with increasing $Q^2$, the TSSA is not as heavily suppressed. Therefore, it may be expected that the Sivers SSA remains significant at the higher $Q$ values of experiments planned at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the EIC. Still, the QCD evolution effects are clearly non-negligible and should be correctly included in future extractions. Ref. [3] predicts a roughly $\sim 1/\sqrt{Q}$ suppression for the peak of the Sivers asymmetry as a function of transverse momentum, for large $Q^2 \gtrsim 10$ GeV$^2$. We find that, for the full asymmetry integrated over all transverse momentum, a power-like scaling law does not provide a good description in the range of $Q$ in Fig. 2. Generally, we find that the evolution leads to suppression that is faster than $\sim 1/\sqrt{Q}$, but slower than $\sim 1/Q^2$. We caution, however, that a completely correct treatment at large $Q$ must include the $Y$-term in Eq. (2), and it is possible that this will weaken
To conclude, we remark that it is important for future theoretical calculations to not only explain experimental results, but also to make precise pQCD-based predictions that can be tested against future data at larger $Q$. With this in mind, we view the success of the TMD-factorization treatment in explaining the HERMES and COMPASS as highly encouraging.

We are very grateful to M. Anselmino, C. Aidala, D. Boer, E. Boglione, J. Collins, E. Laenen, S. Melis, M. Pennington, J. W. Qiu, and G. Sterman for helpful discussions. M. Aybat and T. Rogers thank Jefferson Lab for kind hospitality. Authored by a Jefferson Science Associate, LLC under U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177. T. Rogers was supported by the National Science Foundation, grant PHY-0969739. M. Aybat acknowledges support from the research program of the “Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM)”, which is financially supported by the “Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO)

\[\sin (\theta, -z) = A_{UT}\]

\[Q^2 (\text{GeV}^2)\]

FIG. 2: Sivers evolution in $Q^2$, integrated over $x$, $z$ and $P_{h\perp}$.

the rate of the suppression.
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