

#### THE RCFT ORIENTIFOLD "LANDSCHAP"

#### **BASED ON WORK WITH:**

- Huiszoon, Fuchs, Schweigert and Walcher [Formalism] Phys.Lett.B495:427-434,2000
- Huiszoon, Dijkstra Phys.Lett.B609:408-417,2005, Nucl.Phys.B710:3-57,2005

[SM Search]

- Anastasopoulos, Dijkstra, Kiritsis [SM Search] Nucl.Phys.B759:83-146,2006
- Ibañez, Uranga [Majorana masses from instantons] (arXiv:0704.1079, JHEP, to appear)
- Gato-Rivera [Non-supersymmetric strings] (arXiv:0709.1426, Phys. Lett. B, to appear)

## STRING THEORY

A candidate theory of quantum gravity.

*Candidate*: we only know some promising perturbative expansions, not the theory itself. We do not even know for sure if it exists!

There are reasons to believe that any theory of quantum gravity must include all other matter and interactions as well.

### EARLY INSIGHT (~1982)...

Soon after starting graduate school, I went to see Howard Georgi. "What are you thinking about?" he asked me. I rattled off several things that seemed interesting to me, ending with, "... and quantum gravity." **"Don't waste your time!"** he barked, "There's no decoupling limit in which it's sensible to consider quantum gravity effects, while neglecting other interactions. Unless you know particle physics all the way up to the Planck scale, you can never hope to say anything predictive about quantum gravity." Howard was, of course, completely correct.

Jacques Distler, "Musings"

### EARLY INSIGHT (~1982)...

Soon after starting graduate school, I went to see Howard Georgi. "What are you thinking about?" he asked me. I rattled off several things that seemed interesting to me, ending with, "... and quantum gravity." **"Don't waste your time!"** he barked, "There's no decoupling limit in which it's sensible to consider quantum gravity effects, while neglecting other interactions. Unless you know particle physics all the way up to the Planck scale, you can never hope to say anything predictive about quantum gravity." Howard was, of course, completely correct.

Jacques Distler, "Musings"

### MATTER

String Theory addresses this by already having all matter (and all interactions) built in from the start.

Therefore it must include the Standard Model, Dark Matter and anything that might exist beyond the SM.

### **PREDICTIONS?**

### **PREDICTIONS?**

This does not imply that it must make any low energy predictions.

If it does, we are just lucky.

If it does not, we are at worst in the same situation one should have expected for a theory of quantum gravity: one can only check it by means of consistency conditions.

### **PREDICTIONS?**

This does not imply that it must make any low energy predictions.

If it does, we are just lucky.

If it does not, we are at worst in the same situation one should have expected for a theory of quantum gravity: one can only check it by means of consistency conditions.

In fact, we are in a much better situation: we have a Landscape!

#### The "Landscape"

Lerche, Lüst, Schellekens "Chiral, Four-dimensional Heterotic Strings From Self-Dual Lattices", 1986

this number is of order  $10^{1500}$  !

Even if all that string theory could achieve would be a completely finite theory of all interactions including gravity, but with no further restrictions on the gauge groups and the representations, it would be a considerable success.

Douglas, DeNef (2004):

#### 10<sup>500</sup> vacua



Dutch version (1998)

physics/0604134



# COSMIC LANDSCAPE

STRING THEORY AND THE ILLUSION OF INTELLIGENT DESIGN

All of this is wrong if the SM is not contained in String Theory.

All of this is wrong if the SM is not contained in String Theory.

This is non-trivial. String Theory does not contain every gauge theory we can build.

All of this is wrong if the SM is not contained in String Theory.

This is non-trivial. String Theory does not contain every gauge theory we can build.

For example, try embedding the Periodic System!

## TWO ROADS TO THE SM

Gravity and SM from closed strings: The Heterotic String

Gravity from closed strings, The SM from open strings: Orientifold models

We can only access a very small part of the Landscape with these methods.



## ORIENTIFOLDS

Sunday, 2 May, 2010

### THE LONG ROAD TO THE CHIRAL SSM

Se Angelantonj, Bianchi, Pradisi, Sagnotti, Stanev (1996) Chiral spectra from Orbifold-Orientifolds

 Aldazabal, Franco, Ibanez, Rabadan, Uranga (2000) Blumenhagen, Görlich, Körs, Lüst (2000) Ibanez, Marchesano, Rabadan (2001) Non-supersymmetric SM-Spectra with RR tadpole cancellation

Cvetic, Shiu, Uranga (2001) Supersymmetric SM-Spectra with chiral exotics

Selumenhagen, Görlich, Ott (2002) Honecker (2003) Supersymmetric Pati-Salam Spectra with brane recombination

Dijkstra, Huiszoon, Schellekens (2004) Supersymmetric Standard Model (Gepner Orientifolds)

Supersymmetric Standard Model (Z6 orbifold/orientifold)

#### **ORIENTIFOLD PARTITION FUNCTIONS**



Sunday, 2 May, 2010

#### ORIENTIFOLD PARTITION FUNCTIONS

$$\bigcirc \text{Closed} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{ij} \chi_i(\tau) Z_{ij} \chi_i(\bar{\tau}) + \sum_i K_i \chi_i(2\tau) \right]$$

$$\bigcirc \text{Open} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i,a,n} N_a N_b A^i{}_{ab} \chi_i(\frac{\tau}{2}) + \sum_{i,a} N_a M^i{}_a \hat{\chi}_i(\frac{\tau}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \right]$$

- i: Primary field label (finite range)
- a: Boundary label (finite range)
- $\chi_i$ : Character
- $N_a$ : Chan-Paton (CP) Multiplicity

### TRANSVERSE CHANNEL



### TRANSVERSE CHANNEL



### **TRANSVERSE CHANNEL**



### COEFFICIENTS

 Image: Second state
 Image: Second state

$$K^{i} = \sum_{m,J,J'} \frac{S^{i}{}_{m}U_{(m,J)}g^{\Omega,m}_{J,J'}U_{(m,J')}}{S_{0m}}$$

Annulus

$$A^{i}_{[a,\psi_{a}][b,\psi_{b}]} = \sum_{m,J,J'} \frac{S^{i}_{\ m} R_{[a,\psi_{a}](m,J)} g^{\Omega,m}_{J,J'} R_{[b,\psi_{b}](m,J')}}{S_{0m}}$$

Moebius

$$M_{[a,\psi_a]}^i = \sum_{m,J,J'} \frac{P_m^i R_{[a,\psi_a](m,J)} g_{J,J'}^{\Omega,m} U_{(m,J')}}{S_{0m}}$$

 $g_{J,J'}^{\Omega,m} = \frac{S_{m0}}{S_{mK}} \beta_K(J) \delta_{J',J^c}$ 



### RCFT TOOLS

#### **BOUNDARIES AND CROSSCAPS**

Soundary coefficients

$$R_{[a,\psi_a](m,J)} = \sqrt{\frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{|\mathcal{C}_a||\mathcal{S}_a|}} \psi_a^*(J) S_{am}^J$$

Crosscap coefficients

$$U_{(m,J)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{H}|}} \sum_{L \in \mathcal{H}} e^{\pi i (h_K - h_{KL})} \beta_K(L) P_{LK,m} \delta_{J,0}$$

Cardy (1989) Sagnotti, Pradisi, Stanev (~1995) Huiszoon, Fuchs, Schellekens, Schweigert, Walcher (2000)



 $\chi_i(\tau) Z_{ij} \bar{\chi}_j(\bar{\tau})$ 

ij

Sunday, 2 May, 2010

## A MIPF

 $\begin{array}{l} (0+2)^{2} + (1+3)^{2} + (4+6)^{*}(13+15) + (5+7)^{*}(12+14) \\ + (8+10)^{2} + (9+11)^{2} + (12+14)^{*}(5+7) + (13+15)^{*}(4+6) \\ + (16+18)^{*}(25+27) + (17+19)^{*}(24+26) + (20+22)^{2} + (21+23)^{2} \\ + (24+26)^{*}(17+19) + (25+27)^{*}(16+18) + (28+30)^{2} + (29+31)^{2} \\ + (32+34)^{2} + (33+35)^{2} + (36+38)^{*}(45+47) + (37+39)^{*}(44+46) \\ + (40+42)^{2} + (41+43)^{2} + (44+46)^{*}(37+39) + (45+47)^{*}(36+38) \\ + (48+50)^{*}(57+59) + (49+51)^{*}(56+58) + (52+54)^{2} + (53+55)^{2} \\ + (56+58)^{*}(49+51) + (57+59)^{*}(48+50) + (60+62)^{2} + (61+63)^{2} \end{array}$ 

 $+ 2^{*}(2913)^{*}(2915) + 2^{*}(2914)^{*}(2912) + 2^{*}(2915)^{*}(2913)$  $+ 2^{*}(2916)^{2} + 2^{*}(2917)^{2} + 2^{*}(2918)^{2} + 2^{*}(2919)^{2}$  $+ 2^{*}(2920)^{2} + 2^{*}(2921)^{2} + 2^{*}(2922)^{2} + 2^{*}(2923)^{2}$  $+ 2^{*}(2924)^{*}(2926) + 2^{*}(2925)^{*}(2927) + 2^{*}(2926)^{*}(2924)$  $+ 2^{*}(2927)^{*}(2925) + 2^{*}(2928)^{2} + 2^{*}(2929)^{2} + 2^{*}(2930)^{2}$  $+ 2^{*}(2931)^{2} + 2^{*}(2932)^{*}(2934) + 2^{*}(2933)^{*}(2935)$  $+ 2^{*}(2934)^{*}(2932) + 2^{*}(2935)^{*}(2933) + 2^{*}(2936)^{*}(2938)$  $+ 2^{*}(2937)^{*}(2939) + 2^{*}(2938)^{*}(2936) + 2^{*}(2939)^{*}(2937)$  $+ 2^{*}(2940)^{2} + 2^{*}(2941)^{2} + 2^{*}(2942)^{2} + 2^{*}(2943)^{2}$ 

## **ISHIBASHI STATES**

 $(0+2)^2 + (1+3)^2 + (4+6)^*(13+15) + (5+7)^*(12+14) + (8+10)^2 + (9+11)^2 + (12+14)^*(5+7) + (13+15)^*(4+6)$ 

 $+ 2^{*}(2937)^{*}(2939) + 2^{*}(2938)^{*}(2936) + 2^{*}(2939)^{*}(2937)$  $+ 2^{*}(2940)^{2} + 2^{*}(2941)^{2} + 2^{*}(2942)^{2} + 2^{*}(2943)^{2}$ 

## **ISHIBASHI STATES**

 $(0+2)^2 + (1+3)^2 + (4+6)^*(13+15) + (5+7)^*(12+14) + (8+10)^2 + (9+11)^2 + (12+14)^*(5+7) + (13+15)^*(4+6)$ 

+ 2\*(2937)\*(2939) + 2\*(2938)\*(2936) + 2\*(2939)\*(2937) $+ 2*(2940)^2 + 2*(2941)^2 + 2*(2942)^2 + 2*(2943)^2$ 

## **ISHIBASHI STATES**

 $(0+2)^2 + (1+3)^2 + (4+6)^*(13+15) + (5+7)^*(12+14) + (8+10)^2 + (9+11)^2 + (12+14)^*(5+7) + (13+15)^*(4+6)$ 

$$+ 2*(2937)*(2939) + 2*(2938)*(2936) + 2*(2939)*(2937) + 2*(2940)^2 + 2*(2941)^2 + 2*(2942)^2 + 2*(2943)^2$$

 $(m, J): J \in \mathcal{S}_m$ with  $Q_L(m) + X(L, J) = 0 \mod 1$  for all  $L \in \mathcal{H}$  $\mathcal{S}_m: J \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $J \cdot m = m$ (Stabilizer of m)

Sunday, 2 May, 2010

## **BOUNDARY STATES**

 $(0+2)^2 + (1+3)^2 + (4+6)^*(13+15) + (5+7)^*(12+14) + (8+10)^2 + (9+11)^2 + (12+14)^*(5+7) + (13+15)^*(4+6)$ 

 $+ 2^{*}(2937)^{*}(2939) + 2^{*}(2938)^{*}(2936) + 2^{*}(2939)^{*}(2937)$  $+ 2^{*}(2940)^{2} + 2^{*}(2941)^{2} + 2^{*}(2942)^{2} + 2^{*}(2943)^{2}$ 

## **BOUNDARY STATES**

 $(0+2)^{2} + (1+3)^{2} + (4+6)^{*}(13+15) + (5+7)^{*}(12+14) + (8+10)^{2} + (9+11)^{2} + (12+14)^{*}(5+7) + (13+15)^{*}(4+6)$ 

+ 2\*(2937)\*(2939) + 2\*(2938)\*(2936) + 2\*(2939)\*(2937) $+ 2*(2940)^2 + 2*(2941)^2 + 2*(2942)^2 + 2*(2943)^2$ 

## **BOUNDARY STATES**

 $(0+2)^{2} + (1+3)^{2} + (4+6)^{*}(13+15) + (5+7)^{*}(12+14) + (8+10)^{2} + (9+11)^{2} + (12+14)^{*}(5+7) + (13+15)^{*}(4+6)$ 

+ 2\*(2937)\*(2939) + 2\*(2938)\*(2936) + 2\*(2939)\*(2937) $+ 2*(2940)^2 + 2*(2941)^2 + 2*(2942)^2 + 2*(2943)^2$ 

 $[a, \psi_a], \quad \psi_a \text{ is a character of the group } \mathcal{C}_a$  $\mathcal{C}_a \text{ is the Central Stabilizer of } a$  $\mathcal{C}_i := \{J \in \mathcal{S}_i \mid F_i^X(K, J) = 1 \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{S}_i\}$  $F_i^X(K, J) := e^{2\pi i X(K, J)} F_i(K, J)^*$  $S_{Ki,j}^J = F_i(K, J) e^{2\pi i Q_K(j)} S_{i,j}^J.$ 

### ACCESSIBLE RCFT'S

- Free fermions (4n real + (9-2n) complex)
- Sazama-Suzuki models (requires exact spectrum computation)
- Permutation orbifolds

#### **....**

(\*) See also: Angelantonj et. al. Blumenbagen et. al. Aldazabal et. al. Brunner et. al.

Sunday, 2 May, 2010
# **ALGEBRAIC CHOICES**

- Basic CFT (N=2 tensor, free fermions...)
   (Type IIB closed string theory)
- Chiral algebra extension(\*)
   May imply space-time symmetry (e.g. Susy: GSO projection).
   Reduces number of characters.
- Modular Invariant Partition Function (MIPF)(\*) May imply bulk symmetry (e.g Susy), not respected by all boundaries. Defines the set of boundary states (Sagnotti-Pradisi-Stanev completeness condition)

(\*) all these choices are simple current related

### **TADPOLES & ANOMALIES**

Tadpole cancellation condition:
  $\sum_{b} N_b R_{b(m,J)} = 4\eta_m U_{(m,J)}$  Cubic anomalies cancel



- Remaining anomalies by Green-Schwarz mechanism
- In rare cases, additional conditions for global anomaly cancellation\*

\*Gato-Rivera, Schellekens (2005)



# MODEL BUILDING



### THE MADRID MODEL\*



(\*) Ibanez, Marchesano, Rabadan

# **ABELIAN MASSES**

#### Green-Schwarz mechanism



Axion-Vector boson vertex

·----

Generates mass vector bosons of anomalous symmetries (e.g. B + L) But may also generate mass for non-anomalous ones (Y, B-L)

Sunday, 2 May, 2010





# BEYOND MADRID

# THE SM SPECTRUM

Current experimental information:

3 chiral families + vector-like states

Possible vector-like states:

Higgs? right-handed neutrinos? squarks, sleptons? gluinos? who knows what else?

(Some constraints from unification, if you believe it)





Vector-like: mass allowed by  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ (Higgs, right-handed neutrino, gauginos, sparticles....)

Sunday, 2 May, 2010

# SEARCH CRITERIA

Require only:

- $\bigcirc$  U(3) from a single brane
- $\bigcirc$  U(2) from a single brane
- Quarks and leptons, Y from at most four branes
- $\bigcirc G_{CP} \supset SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
- Chiral G<sub>CP</sub> fermions reduce to quarks, leptons (plus non-chiral particles)



## **ALLOWED FEATURES**

Q (Anti)-quarks from anti-symmetric tensors

Q Leptons from anti-symmetric tensors

**G** Family symmetries

Se Non-standard Y-charge assignments

Q Unification (Pati-Salam, (flipped) SU(5), trinification)\*

Searyon and/or lepton number violation

\*a,b,c,d may be identical

# **CHAN-PATON GROUP**

 $G_{CP} = U(3)_a \times \left\{ \frac{U(2)_b}{Sp(2)_b} \right\} \times G_c \quad (\times G_d)$ 

Embedding of Y:

 $Y = \alpha Q_a + \beta Q_b + \gamma Q_c + \delta Q_d + W_c + W_d$ 

Q: Brane charges (for unitary branes)W: Traceless generators

# CLASSIFICATION

 $Y = (x - \frac{1}{3})Q_a + (x - \frac{1}{2})Q_b + xQ_C + (x - 1)Q_D$ 

Distributed over c and d

#### Allowed values for x

1/2Madrid model, Pati-Salam, Flipped SU(5)0(broken) SU(5)1Antoniadis, Kiritsis, Tomaras model-1/2, 3/2Trinification (x = 1/3) (orientable)



# SEARCHES

Sunday, 2 May, 2010

#### **TORUS CFT: TYPE-IIB GEPNER MODELS**

Building Blocks: Minimal N=2 CFT

$$c = \frac{3k}{k+2}, \quad k = 1, \dots, \infty$$

168 ways of solving

$$\sum_{i} c_{k_i} = 9$$

Spectrum:

$$h_{l,m} = \frac{l(l+2) - m^2}{4(k+2)} + \frac{s^2}{8}$$

 $(l = 0, \dots k; \quad q = -k, \dots k + 2; \quad s = -1, 0, 1, 2)$ (plus field identification)

### 4(k+2) simple currents

## DATA

	2004-2005*	2005-2006†
Trigger	"Madrid"	All 3 family models
Chiral types	19	19345
Tadpole-free(per type)	18	1900
Total configs	$45 \ge 10^6$	$145 \ge 10^6$
Tadpole free, distinct	210.000	1900
Max. primaries	$\infty$	1750

(\*) Huiszoon, Dijkstra, Schellekens

(†) Anastasopoulos, Dijkstra, Kiritsis, Schellekens

## A "MADRID" MODEL

Gauge group: Exactly  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)!$ [U(3)×Sp(2)×U(1)×U(1), Massive B-L, No hidden sector]

3 x ( V ,V	,0	,0) chirality 3	Q
3 x ( V ,0	,V	,0) chirality -3	U*
3 x (V,0	,V*	,0) chirality -3	D*
3 x ( 0 ,V	,0	,V) chirality 3	L
5 x ( 0 ,0	,V	,V) chirality -3	$E^{*}+(E+E^{*})$
3 x ( 0 ,0	,V	,V*) chirality 3	N*
18 x ( 0 ,V	,V	,0)	Higgs
2 x (V ,0	,0	,V )	00
2 x ( Ad ,0	,0	,0)	
2 x ( A ,0	,0	,0)	
6 x ( S ,0	,0	,0)	
14 x ( 0 ,A	,0	,0)	
6 x ( 0 ,S	,0	,0)	
9 x ( 0 ,0	,Ad	,0)	
6 x ( 0 ,0	,А	,0)	
14 x ( 0 ,0	,S	,0)	
3 x ( 0 ,0	,0	,Ad)	
4 x ( 0 ,0	,0	,A )	

6 x ( 0 ,0 ,0 ,S )

## A "MADRID" MODEL

Gauge group: Exactly  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)!$ [U(3)×Sp(2)×U(1)×U(1), Massive B-L, No hidden sector]

3 x ( V	,V	,0	,0	) c	chirality 3	Q
3 x ( V	,0	,V	,0	) c	chirality -3	U*
3 x ( V	,0	,V*	,0	) c	chirality -3	D*
3 x ( 0	,V	,0	,V	) (	chirality 3	L
5 x ( 0	,0	,V	,V	) c	chirality -3	$E^{*}+(E+E^{*})$
3 x ( 0	,0	,V	,V*	) c	chirality 3	N*
18 x ( 0	,V	,V	,0	)		Higgs
2 x ( V	,0	,0	,V	)		
2 x ( Ad	<b>0</b> , I	,0	,0	)		
2 x ( A	,0	,0	,0	)		
6 x ( S	,0	,0	,0	)	Vector-	like matter
14 x ( 0	,A	,0	,0	)	V=vecto	or
6 x ( 0	,S	,0	,0	)	A=Anti-	-symm. tensor
9 x ( 0	,0	,Ad	,0	)	S=Sym	metric tensor
6 x ( 0	,0	,A	,0	)	Ad=Ad	joint
14 x ( 0	,0	,S	,0	)		

3 x ( 0 ,0 ,0 ,Ad)

4 x ( 0 ,0 ,0 ,A )

6 x ( 0 ,0 ,0 ,S )

### NO MIRRORS, NO RANK-2 TENSORS

#### (Left-right symmetric model)

#### U3 S2 S2 U1 S6 S4 S2

3 x (V	,V	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0) c	hirality	3
3 x (V	,0	,V	,0	,0	,0	,0 ) c	hirality	-3
3 x (0	,V	,0	,V	,0	,0	,0 ) c	hirality	3
3 x (0	,0	,V	,V	,0	,0	,0 ) c	hirality	-3
2 x (V	,0	,0	,V	,0	,0	,0)		
2 x (0	,V	,V	,0	,0	,0	,0)		
2 x (V	,0	,0	,0	,V	,0	,0)		
2 x (V	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V	,0)		
2 x (V	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V )		
1 x (0	,V	,0	,0	,V	,0	,0)		
1 x (0	,0	,V	,0	,V	,0	,0)		
2 x (0	,0	,0	,V	,0	,V	,0)		
1 x (0	,0	,0	,0	,V	,0	,V )		
2 x (0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V	,V )		
2 x (0	,0	,0	,0	,A	,0	,0)		
1 x (0	,0	,0	,0	,S	,0	,0)		
5 x (0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,А	,0)		
5 x (0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,S	,0)		
1 x (0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,S )		

Q U\*,D\* L E\*,N\* **Leptoquark pair** 2 Higgs pairs









# STATISTICS

Value of x	Total
0	24483441
1/2	138837612*
1	30580
-1/2, 3/2	0
any	1250080

\*Previous search: 45051902

# AN SU(5) MODEL

#### Gauge group is just SU(5)!



Top quark Yukawa's?

## **A CURIOSITY**

#### Gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times [U(2)_{Hidden})]$

#### U3 S2 U1 U1 U2

	3 x ( V	,V	,0	,0	,0)	chirality	3	Q
	3 x ( 0	,0	,V	,V	,0)	chirality	-3	E*
]	L x ( V	,0	,0	,V*	,0)	chirality	-1	U*
Ż	2 x ( V	,0	,V	,0	,0)	chirality	-2	D*
Ż	2 x ( 0	,V	,0	,V	,0)	chirality	2	L
	3 x ( V	,0	,0	,V	,0)	chirality	-1	$D^*+(D+D^*)$
	3 x ( 0	,V	,V	,0	,0)	chirality	1	$L+H_1+H_2$
Ż	2 x ( V	,0	,V*	,0	,0)	chirality	-2	U*
]	L x ( 0	,0	,V	,V*	,0)	chirality	1	N*
4	4 x ( A	,0	,0	,0	,0)			U+U*
2	2 x ( 0	,0	,0	,S	,0)			E+E*

## **A CURIOSITY**

#### Gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times [U(2)_{Hidden})]$

#### U3 S2 U1 U1 U2

3 x ( V	,V	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 3	3	Q
3 x ( 0	,0	,V	,V	,0	)	chirality -	3	E*
1 x ( V	,0	,0	,V*	,0	)	chirality -	1	U*
2 x ( V	,0	,V	,0	,0	)	chirality -	2	D*
2 x ( 0	,V	,0	,V	,0	)	chirality 2	2	L
3 x ( V	,0	,0	,V	,0	)	chirality -	1	$D^*+(D+D^*)$
3 x ( 0	,V	,V	,0	,0	)	chirality 1	L	$L+H_1+H_2$
2 x ( V	,0	,V*	,0	,0	)	chirality -	2	U*
1 x ( 0	,0	,V	,V*	,0	)	chirality 1	L	N*
4 x ( A	,0	,0	,0	,0	)			U+U*
2 x ( 0	,0	,0	,S	,0	)			E+E*

Truly hidden hidden sector

## **A CURIOSITY**

#### Gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times [U(2)_{Hidden})]$

#### U3 S2 U1 U1 U2

3 x ( V	,V	,0	,0	,0)	chirality	3	Q
3 x ( 0	,0	,V	,V	,0)	chirality	-3	E*
1 x ( V	,0	,0	,V*	,0)	chirality	-1	U*
2 x ( V	,0	,V	,0	,0)	chirality	-2	D*
2 x ( 0	,V	,0	,V	,0)	chirality	2	L
3 x ( V	,0	,0	,V	,0)	chirality	-1	$D^*+(D+D^*)$
3 x ( 0	,V	,V	,0	,0)	chirality	1	$L+H_1+H_2$
2 x ( V	,0	,V*	,0	,0)	chirality	-2	U*
1 x ( 0	,0	,V	,V*	,0)	chirality	1	N*
4 x ( A	,0	,0	,0	,0)			U+U*
2 x ( 0	,0	,0	,S	,0)			E+E*

Free-field realization with (2)<sup>6</sup> Gepner model

# Holistic Wellness with Tachyons

A practical guide to the use of tachyons

Martina Bochnik & Tommy Thomsen

MATERIA TACHYON INCOGNITA



## NON-SUPERSYMMETRIC MODELS

### **NON-SUPERSYMMETRIC MODELS\***

Four ways of removing closed string tachyons

Chiral algebra extension (non-susy)
Automorphism MIPF
Susy MIPF (non-susy extension)
Klein Bottle

(\*) with Beatriz Gato-Rivera

### **NON-SUPERSYMMETRIC MODELS\***

Four ways of removing closed string tachyons

Chiral algebra extension (non-susy)
Automorphism MIPF
Susy MIPF (non-susy extension)
Klein Bottle

(44054 MIPFs)
 (40261 MIPFs)
 (186951 Orientifolds)

(\*) with Beatriz Gato-Rivera

### **NON-SUPERSYMMETRIC MODELS\***

Four ways of removing closed string tachyons

Chiral algebra extension (non-susy)
Automorphism MIPF
Susy MIPF (non-susy extension)
Klein Bottle

(44054 MIPFs)
(40261 MIPFs)
(186951 Orientifolds)

Huge number of possibilities!

(\*) with Beatriz Gato-Rivera



# NEUTRINO MASSES

Sunday, 2 May, 2010

## **NEUTRINO MASSES\***

 In field theory: easy; several solutions.
 Most popular: add three right-handed neutrinos add "natural" Dirac & Majorana masses (see-saw)

 $m_{\nu} = \frac{(M_D)^2}{M_M}; \quad M_D \approx 100 \text{ MeV}, \qquad M_M \approx 10^{11} \dots 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$ 

In string theory: non-trivial.(String theory is much more falsifiable!).

#### Potentially anthropic.

(\*) Ibañez, Schellekens, Uranga, arXiv:0704.1079, JHEP (to appear) Blumenhagen, Cvetic, Weigand, hep-th/0609191 Ibañez, Uranga, hep-th/0609213

Other ideas: see e.g. Conlon, Cremades; Giedt, Kane, Langacker, Nelson; Buchmuller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, Ratz, .... The following ingredients cannot be taken for granted in String Theory:

Se Existence of a Weinberg operator.

$$\mathcal{L}_W = \frac{\lambda}{M} (L\overline{H}L\overline{H})$$

Se Existence of right-handed neutrinos.

Se Existence of non-zero Dirac masses.

Absence of massless B-L vector bosons.

Se Existence of Majorana masses.

#### **NEUTRINO MASSES IN MADRID MODELS**

All these models have three right-handed neutrinos (required for cubic anomaly cancellation)

In most of these models: B-L survives as an exact gauge symmetry

Then the neutrino's can get Dirac masses, but not Majorana masses (both needed for see-saw mechanism).

In a very small\* subset, B-L acquires a mass due to axion couplings.

(\*) 391 out of 10000 models with SU(3)× Sp(2)× U(1)× U(1) (out of 211000 in total)
## **B-L VIOLATION BY INSTANTONS**

B-L still survives as a perturbative symmetry. It may be broken to a discrete subgroup by instantons.

RCFT instanton boundary state M: "Matter" boundary state m, change space-time boundary conditions from Neumann to Dirichlet.

Condition for B-L violation:

```
I_{M\mathbf{a}} - I_{M\mathbf{a}'} - I_{M\mathbf{d}} + I_{M\mathbf{d}'} \neq 0
```

Non-gauge (stringy, exotic) instanton: CP multiplicity of the assocated matter brane = 0

Does not introduce new anomalies/tadpoles
 Suppression factor not related to gauge coupling strengths

$$M_M \propto M_s e^{-\frac{1}{g_M^2}}$$

# **B-L ANOMALIES**

$$I_{M\mathbf{a}} - I_{M\mathbf{a}'} - I_{M\mathbf{d}} + I_{M\mathbf{d}'} \neq 0$$

Implies a cubic B-L anomaly if M is a "matter" brane (Chan-Paton multiplicity  $\neq 0$ ).

⇒ M cannot be a matter brane: non-gauge-theory instanton (stringy instanton, exotic instanton)

Implies a  $(B-L)(G_M)^2$  anomaly even if we cancel the cubic anomaly

 $\Rightarrow$  B-L must be massive

(The converse is not true: there are massive B-L models without such instanton branes)

#### **ZERO-MODES**

Majorana mass term  $v^c v^c$  violates c and d brane charge by two units. To compensate this, we must have

$$I_{Mc} = 2; \quad I_{Md} = -2$$
  
or  
 $I_{Md'} = 2; \quad I_{Mc'} = -2$ 

Furthermore there must be precisely two susy zeromodes to generate an F-term contribution.

#### And nothing else!

 $I_{Ma}$  = chiral [# (V,V\*) - # (V\*,V)] between branes M and a a' = boundary conjugate of a

#### **NEUTRINO-ZERO MODE COUPLING**

The following world-sheet disk is allowed by all symmetries (no brane charges violated)



 $L_{cubic} \propto d_a^{ij} (\alpha_i \nu^a \gamma_j) , a = 1, 2, 3$ 

## ZERO-MODE INTEGRAL

### Zero-mode/neutrino coupling



 $L_{\rm disk} \propto d_a^{ij}(\alpha_i \nu^a \gamma_j)$ 

$$a = 1, 2, 3; \quad i, j = 1, 2$$

$$\int d^2 \alpha \, d^2 \gamma \, e^{-d_a^{ij} \, (\alpha_i \nu^a \gamma_j)} = \nu_a \nu_b \left( \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} d_a^{ik} d_b^{jl} \right)$$

## **INSTANTON TYPES**

Matter brane m	Instanton brane M
U(N)	U(k)
O(N)	Sp(2k)
Sp(2N)	O(k)

Matter/Instanton zero modes: 0, ±2 Instanton-Instanton susy zero modes: 2

#### Possible for:

- ♀ Sp, k=1
- ♀ O, k=1,2

U(k): 4 Adj
Sp(2k): 2 A + 2 S
O(k): 2 S + 2 A

Only solution: O(1)

### UNIVERSAL INSTANTON-INSTANTON ZERO-MODES

 $\bigcirc U(k): 4 Adj$  $\bigcirc Sp(2k): 2 A + 2 S$  $\bigcirc O(k): 2 S + 2 A$ 

#### Only O(1) has the required 2 zero modes

(See also: Argurio, Bertolini, Ferretti, Lerda, Peterson, arXiv:0704.0262)

Sunday, 2 May, 2010

# **INSTANTON SCAN**

Can we find such branes M in the 391 models with massive B-L?

(1 may give R-parity violation, 4 means no Majorana mass)

- Some models have no RCFT instantons
- 9 1315 instantons with correct *chiral* intersections
- Some of these models has R-parity violating instantons.
- Most instantons are symplectic in this sample.
- There are examples with exactly the right number, *non-chirally*, except for the spurious extra susy zero-modes (Sp(2) instantons).

...almost

## AN SP(2) INSTANTON MODEL

#### U3 S2 U1 U1 O

3	Х	(	V	,V	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality	3
3	х	(	V	,0	,V	,0	,0	)	chirality	-3
3	х	(	V	,0	,V*	,0	,0	)	chirality	-3
3	х	(	0	,V	,0	,V	,0	)	chirality	3
5	х	(	0	,0	,V	,V	,0	)	chirality	-3
3	х	(	0	,0	,V	,V*	,0	)	chirality	3
1	Х	(	0	,0	,V	,0	,V	)	chirality	-1
1	х	(	0	,0	,0	,V	,V	)	chirality	1
18	х	(	0	,V	,V	,0	,0	)		
2	х	(	V	,0	,0	,V	,0	)		
2	Х	(	Ad,	0	,0	,0	,0	)		
2	х	(	А	,0	,0	,0	,0	)		
6	х	(	S	,0	,0	,0	,0	)		
14	Х	(	0	,А	,0	,0	,0	)		
6	х	(	0	,S	,0	,0	,0	)		
9	Х	(	0	,0	,Ad,	0	,0	)		
6	Х	(	0	,0	,А	,0	,0	)		
14	Х	(	0	,0	,S	,0	,0	)		
3	Х	(	0	,0	,0	,Ad,	0	)		
4	Х	(	0	,0	,0	,А	,0	)		
6	Х	(	0	,0	,0	,S	,0	)		

## AN SP(2) INSTANTON MODEL

#### U3 S2 U1 U1 O

	3	х	(	٧	,V	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality	3
	3	Х	(	V	,0	,V	,0	,0	)	chirality	-3
	3	Х	(	V	,0	,V*	,0	,0	)	chirality	-3
	3	Х	(	0	,V	,0	,V	,0	)	chirality	3
	5	Х	(	0	,0	,V	,V	,0	)	chirality	-3
	3	Х	(	0	,0	,V	,V*	,0	)	chirality	3
	1	Х	(	0	,0	,V	,0	,V	)	chirality	-1
	1	Х	(	0	,0	,0	,V	,V	)	chirality	1
1	8	Х	(	0	,V	,V	,0	,0	)		
	2	Х	(	V	,0	,0	,V	,0	)		
	2	Х	(	Ad,	, 0	,0	,0	,0	)		
	2	Х	(	А	,0	,0	,0	,0	)		
	6	Х	(	S	,0	,0	,0	,0	)		
1	14	Х	(	0	,А	,0	,0	,0	)		
	6	Х	(	0	,S	,0	,0	,0	)		
	9	Х	(	0	,0	,Ad,	, 0	,0	)		
	6	Х	(	0	,0	,А	,0	,0	)		
1	4	Х	(	0	,0	,S	,0	,0	)		
	3	Х	(	0	,0	,0	,Ad,	0	)		
	4	Х	(	0	,0	,0	,А	,0	)		
	6	Х	(	0	,0	,0	,S	,0	)		

Tensor	MIPF	Orientifold	Instanton	Solution
(2,4,18,28)	17	0		
(2,4,22,22)	13	3	$S2^+!, S2^-!$	Yes!
(2,4,22,22)	13	2	$S2^+!, S2^-!$	Yes
(2,4,22,22)	13	1	$S2^+, S2^-$	No
(2,4,22,22)	13	0	$S2^+, S2^-$	Yes
(2,4,22,22)	31	1	$U1^+, U1^-$	No
(2,4,22,22)	20	0		
(2,4,22,22)	46	0		
(2,4,22,22)	49	1	$O2^+, O2^-, O1^+, O1^-$	Yes
(2,6,14,14)	1	1	$U1^+$	No
(2,6,14,14)	22	2		
(2,6,14,14)	60	2		
(2,6,14,14)	64	0		
(2,6,14,14)	65	0		
(2,6,10,22)	22	2		
(2,6,8,38)	16	0		
(2,8,8,18)	14	2	$S2^+!, S2^-!$	Yes
(2,8,8,18)	14	0	$S2^+!, S2^-!$	No
(2,10,10,10)	52	0	$U1^+, U1^-$	No
(4, 6, 6, 10)	41	0		
(4,4,6,22)	43	0		
(6, 6, 6, 6)	18	0		

#### THE O1 INSTANTON

Type:

Гуре	:			U	S	U	U	U	0	0	U	0	0	0	U	S	S	0	S	1	
Dime	nsic	on		3	2	1	1	1	2	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	2	2			
	5	х	(	V	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -3
	5	х	(	0	,0	,v	,V*	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 3
	3	х	(	V	,0	, V'	*,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -3
	3	х	(	0	,0	,v	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -3
	3	х	(	V	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 3
	3	x	(	0	,v	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 3
	2	х	(	0	,0	,0	,V	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	)	chirality 2
	12	х	(	0	,0	<b>,</b> V	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	)	chirality -2
	1	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V	)	
	2	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	<b>,</b> V	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V	)	
	1	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,V	)	
	2	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,V	)	
	1	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V	)	
	3	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,s	)	
	4	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,V	)	
	2	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	<b>,</b> A	)	
	2	х	(	V	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V	)	
	3	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,s	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -1
	3	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 1
	1	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,A	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -1
	2	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 2
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	)	chirality -1
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -1
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 1
	1	х	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -1
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -1
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -1
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 1
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,V*	•,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality -1
	3	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	)	chirality 1
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	chirality 1
	2	x	(	0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	)	
	1	x	(	Ac	1,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	
	2	x	(	0	,s	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,Ad	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	)	
	6	x	(	0	,0	,v	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,v	,0	,0	)	
	1	x	(	0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	,0	, A	,0	)	
	1	x	(	0	.0	.0	.0	. Ac	0.1	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	. 0	)	

# CONCLUSIONS

Many desirable SM features can be realized in the RCFT orientifold landscape...

♀ Chiral SM spectrum

♀ No mirrors

- No adjoints, rank-2 tensors
- No hidden sector
- No hidden-observable massless matter
- Matter free hidden sector
- $\bigcirc$  Exact SU(3)× SU(2) ×U(1)
- ♀ O1 instantons

....but not all at the same time. Seems just a matter of statistics.

Neutrino masses from instantons: probably possible, but very rare in RCFT.