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## REASONABLE GOALS

数 Explore unknown regions of the landscape
螪 Establish the likelyhood of standard model features （gauge group，three families，．．．．）

粈 Convince ourselves that the standard model is a plausible vacuum

粼 Determine if we are the＂Chinese＂or the＂Andorrans＂ of the landscape

䗱 ．．．and maybe we get lucky
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## GEPNER MODELS

Building Blocks:
Minimal $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{CFT}$

$$
c=\frac{3 k}{k+2}, \quad k=1, \ldots, \infty
$$

168 ways of solving

$$
\sum_{i} c_{k_{i}}=9
$$

Spectrum:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{l, m}=\frac{l(l+2)-m^{2}}{4(k+2)}+\frac{s^{2}}{8} \\
(l=0, \ldots k ; \quad q=-k, \ldots k+2 ; \quad s=-1,0,1,2) \\
\quad \text { (plus field identification) }
\end{gathered}
$$

$4(k+2)$ simple currents

## TENSORING

賕 Preserve world－sheet susy
榉 Preserve space－time susy（GSO）
箓 Use surviving simple currents to build MIPFs

蝶 This yields one point in the moduli space of a Calabi－Yau manifold

## Selecting MIPFs And Orientifolds

Each tensor product has a discrete group $\mathcal{G}$ of simple currents：$J \cdot a=b$

Choose：
$\int$ 並 A subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$
諩 A rational matrix $X_{\alpha \beta}$ defined on $\mathcal{H}$
$\int$ 絜 An element $K$ of $\mathcal{G}$
曗 A set of signs $\beta_{K}(J)$ defined on $\mathcal{H}$

## CONDITIONS

$$
\left[\text { definition: } Q_{J}(a) \equiv h(a)+h(J)-h(J a)\right]
$$

$\begin{array}{lrl}\mathcal{H} & N_{J} h_{J} & \in \mathbb{Z}, \text { for all } J \in \mathcal{H} \\ X_{\alpha \beta} & 2 X_{\alpha \beta} & =Q_{J_{\alpha}}\left(J_{\beta}\right) \bmod 1, \alpha \neq \beta \\ & X_{\alpha \alpha} & =-h_{J_{\alpha}} \\ & N_{\alpha} X_{\alpha \beta} & \in \mathbb{Z} \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \\ K & Q_{I}(K) & =0 \bmod 1 \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{H}, I^{2}=0 .\end{array}$
$\beta_{K}(J) \quad \beta_{K}(J) \beta_{K}\left(J^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{K}\left(J J^{\prime}\right) e^{2 \pi i X\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)} \quad, J, J^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$

## A MIPF

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad(0+2)^{\wedge} 2+(1+3)^{\wedge} 2+(4+6)^{*}(13+15)+(5+7)^{*}(12+14) \\
+(8+10)^{\wedge} 2+(9+11)^{\wedge} 2+(12+14)^{*}(5+7)+(13+15)^{*}(4+6) \\
+(16+18)^{*}(25+27)+(17+19)^{*}(24+26)+(20+22)^{\wedge} 2+(21+23)^{\wedge} 2 \\
+(24+26)^{*}(17+19)+(25+27) *(16+18)+(28+30)^{\wedge} 2+(29+31)^{\wedge} 2 \\
+(32+34)^{\wedge} 2+(33+35)^{\wedge} 2+(36+38)^{*}(45+47)+(37+39)^{*}(44+46) \\
+(40+42)^{\wedge} 2+(41+43)^{\wedge} 2+(44+46)^{*}(37+39)+(45+47)^{*}(36+38) \\
+(48+50) *(57+59)+(49+51)^{*}(56+58)+(52+54)^{\wedge} 2+(53+55)^{\wedge} 2 \\
+(56+58) *(49+51)+(57+59) *(48+50)+(60+62)^{\wedge} 2+(61+63)^{\wedge} 2
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \text { * } 2913 \text { ) }{ }^{*}(2915)+2^{*}(2914) *(2912)+2^{*}(2915) *(2913) \\
& +2^{*}(2916)^{\wedge} 2+2^{*}(2917)^{\wedge} 2+2^{*}(2918)^{\wedge} 2+2^{*}(2919)^{\wedge} 2 \\
& +2^{*}(2920)^{\wedge} 2+2^{*}(2921)^{\wedge} 2+2^{*}(2922)^{\wedge} 2+2^{*}(2923)^{\wedge} 2 \\
& +2^{*}(2924) *(2926)+2 *(2925) *(2927)+2 *(2926) *(2924) \\
& +2 \text { * } 2927 \text { )*(2925) }+2^{* *}(2928)^{\wedge} 2+2 *(2929)^{\wedge} 2+2 *(2930)^{\wedge} 2 \\
& +2 *(2931)^{\wedge} 2+2 *(2932) *(2934)+2^{*}(2933) *(2935) \\
& +2 *(2934) *(2932)+2 *(2935) *(2933)+2 *(2936) *(2938) \\
& +2 \text { * } 2937 \text { ) }{ }^{*}(2939)+2^{*}(2938) *(2936)+2 *(2939) *(2937) \\
& +2{ }^{*}(2940)^{\wedge} 2+2 *(2941)^{\wedge} 2+2^{*}(2942)^{\wedge} 2+2 *(2943)^{\wedge} 2
\end{aligned}
$$

## BOUNDARIES AND CROSSCAPS*

## 諩 Boundary coefficients

$$
R_{\left[a, \psi_{a}\right](m, J)}=\sqrt{\frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{\left|\mathcal{C}_{a}\right|\left|\mathcal{S}_{a}\right|}} \psi_{a}^{*}(J) S_{a m}^{J}
$$

粼 Crosscap coefficients

$$
U_{(m, J)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{H}|}} \sum_{L \in \mathcal{H}} e^{\pi i\left(h_{K}-h_{K L}\right)} \beta_{K}(L) P_{L K, m} \delta_{J, 0}
$$

*Huiszoon, Fuchs, Schellekens, Schweigert, Walcher (2000)

## COEFFICIENTS

籟 Klein bottle

$$
K^{i}=\sum_{m, J, J^{\prime}} \frac{S^{i}{ }_{m} U_{(m, J)} g_{J, J^{\prime}}^{\Omega, m} U_{\left(m, J^{\prime}\right)}}{S_{0 m}}
$$

䋛 Annulus

$$
A_{\left[a, \psi_{a}\right]\left[b, \psi_{b}\right]}^{i}=\sum_{m, J, J^{\prime}} \frac{S^{i}{ }_{m} R_{\left[a, \psi_{a}\right](m, J)} g_{J, J^{\prime}}^{\Omega, m} R_{\left[b, \psi_{b}\right]\left(m, J^{\prime}\right)}}{S_{0 m}}
$$

䗱 Moebius

$$
M_{\left[a, \psi_{a}\right]}^{i}=\sum_{m, J, J^{\prime}} \frac{P^{i}{ }_{m} R_{\left[a, \psi_{a}\right](m, J)} g_{J, J^{\prime}}^{\Omega, m} U_{\left(m, J^{\prime}\right)}}{S_{0 m}}
$$

$g_{J, J^{\prime}}^{\Omega, m}=\frac{S_{m 0}}{S_{m K}} \beta_{K}(J) \delta_{J^{\prime}, J^{c}}$

## PARTITION FUNCTIONS

## 蟔 Closed

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i j} \chi_{i}(\tau) Z_{i j} \chi_{i}(\bar{\tau})+\sum_{i} K_{i} \chi_{i}(2 \tau)\right]
$$

諩 Open

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i, a, n} N_{a} N_{b} A_{a b}^{i} \chi_{i}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)+\sum_{i, a} N_{a} M_{a}^{i} \hat{\chi}_{i}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]
$$

$N_{a}$ : Chan-Paton multiplicity
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## ACCESSIBLE CONFIGURATIONS

铔 168 Gepner models
袮 5403 MIPFs

踰 49322 Orientifolds
触 45761187347637742772 combinations of four boundary labels（brane stacks）

Essential to decide what to search for！
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## BASIC ASSUMPTIONS

諩 CP group contains $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$
粼 Massless Y

業 Spectrum： 3 families＋SM－non－chiral
瞵 Supersymmetry
彞 Complete tadpole cancellation
糕 Global anomaly cancellation （using Uranga＇s probe brane method）
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- $\mathrm{U}(2)$ from a single brane

Q At most four branes
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## ADDITIONAL ASSUMPTION

2004-2005 results:
(with T. Dijkstra and L. Huiszoon)

## 2005-2006 results:

(with P. Anastasopoulos, T. Dijkstra and E. Kiritsis)

211634 distinct
String Vacua

1900 distinct
String Vacua
(MIPFs with < 1750 boundaries)

## BRANE CONFIGURATIONS (2004-2005)

| Type | CP Group | B-L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $U(3) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ | massless |
| 1 | $U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ | massless |
| 2 | $U(3) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2) \times \mathrm{O}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ | massless |
| 3 | $\mathrm{U}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{O}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ | massless |
| 4 | $\mathrm{U}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ | massless |
| 5 | $\mathrm{U}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ | massless |
| 6 | $\mathrm{U}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ | massive |
| 7 | $\mathrm{U}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ | massive |

$\mathrm{U}(2)_{\text {weak }}$ allows additional chiral sub-types

## STATISTICS

| Total number of 4-stack configurations | 45761187347637742772 <br> $\left(45.7 \times 10^{18}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total number scanned | $4.37522 \mathrm{E}+19$ |
| Total number of SM configurations | 45051902 <br> fraction: $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Total number of tadpole solutions | 1649642 <br> fraction: $3.8 \times 10^{-14}\left(^{*}\right)$ |
| Total number of distinct solutions | 211634 |

(*) cf. Gmeiner, Blumenhagen,Honecker,Lüst,Weigand: "One in a Billion"


```
Non-chiral SM matter (Q,U,D,L,E,N): 0}00000
    Adjoints
    Symmetric Tensors:
    Anti-Symmetric Tensors:
        Lepto-quarks: (3,-1/3),(3,2/3)
    Non-SM (a,b,c,d)
Hidden (Total dimension)
alpha_3/alpha_2 =
1.2071071
sin^2(theta_w)=
0.3918058
```
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## Summary:

Higgs: $(2,1 / 2)+(2 *, 1 / 2)$
Non-chiral $S M$ matter $(Q, U, D, L, E, N)$ : $0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ Adjoints
Symmetric Tensors: $0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$

Synueric Tensors. $0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$
Anti-Symmetric Tensors: 0000
Lepto-quarks: $(3,-1 / 3),(3,2 / 3)$

Non-SM ( $a, b, c, d$ )
Hidden (Total dimension)

## alpha 3/alpha $2=$ <br> $\sin ^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{\left(t h e t a \_w\right)}=$

1. 2071071
0.3918058


Summary
Higgs: $(2,1 / 2)+(2 *, 1 / 2)$
Non-chiral SM matter $(Q, U, D, L, E, N)$ : $0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$
Adjoints
Symmetric Tensors:
Anti-Symmetric Tensors: $0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$

Lepto-quarks: $(3,-1 / 3),(3,2 / 3)$
Non-SM ( $a, b, c, d$ )
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Summary
Higgs: $(2,1 / 2)+(2 *, 1 / 2)$
Non-chiral SM matter $(Q, U, D, L, E, N): \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ Adjoints

## Symmetric Tensors:

Anti-Symmetric Tensors:
Lepto-quarks: $(3,-1 / 3),(3,2 / 3)$
Non-SM ( $a, b, c, d$ )
Hidden (Total dimension)

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { alpha_3/alpha_2 }= & 1.2071071 \\
\text { sin^2 }^{2}(\text { theta_w }) & 0.3918058
\end{array}
$$

# Complete Hidden Sector <br> (ASSUMING CP-NON-CHIRAL OBSERVABLE-HIDDEN STATES) 

```
U(3) [fixed]
Sp(2) [fixed]
SO(2) [fixed]
U(1) [fixed]
Sp(2N_128+4N_130+2N_131+2N_132+2N_133+2N_135+2N_136+2N_137+2N_139)
SO(6-N_12-2N_134-2N_135-2N_136-4N_137-6N_138-2N_139)
Sp (2N_134+2N_135+2N_136+2N_137+2N_138+2N_139)
SO (2-\overline{N}_128-2\overline{N}_130-2\overline{N}_133-2\overline{N}_135-2NN_136-N_137-N_139)
Sp(2N_133)
Sp (2N-132)
SO (2N-135)
SO (N_128)
SO (N_12)
SO(1-N_134-N_137-N_138-N_139)
SO(2+2\overline{N}_131-2N_133-2N_135-2N_136-N_137-2N_138-N_139)
SO(5-N_128-2N_130-2N_131-2N_132-2N_133-N_134-2N_135-2N_136-2N_137-N_138-2N_139)
SO(2N_134+N_137+N_139)
Sp (2N 131)
SO(1-\overline{N}_134-N_138)
U(-N 12
U(N_137+2\overline{N}_138)
Sp(2N_136)
Sp(2N_130+2N_133+2N_135+2N_136+2N_137+2N_138+2N_139)
U(1-N_134-N_137-N_138-N_139)
Sp(2N_138)
```


# if we also allow CP-chiral <br> (but SM non-chiral) exotics... 

```
U(3) [fixed]
Sp(2) [fixed]
SO(2) [fixed]
U(1) [fixed]
Sp(2N_272+4N_281+2N_282+2N_289+2N_290+2N_291+2N_292+2N_293+2N_295+2N_296)
SO(6-N
Sp(2N_297)
SO(1-N_80-N_272+N_279+N_280-N_281+N_282+N_283+N_284+N_285+N_286-N_287-N_288-N_289-N_290-N_291-N_292-N_293-N_296+N_297)
Sp(2N 296)
Sp(2N-295)
U(-N_13+N_287+N_288+2N_289+2N_290+2N_291+2N_292+2N_293+2N_294)
```



```
SO (N 2\overline{72)}
SO(N_24)
SO(-\overline{N}80+N 286)
U(2-N_282-N_
```



```
SO(5-N 272-N 280-2N 281-N 282-N 283-N 284-N 289-N 290-N 291-N 292-N 293-2N 295-2N 296-N 297)
SO(1-N_279-N_280-N_ 281-N_\overline{2}82-N_\overline{2}83-N_\
SO(2N 289+N 294+2N 297)
SO(-N_28+N_279+N_2\overline{81+N_285+N_296)}
SO(N 286+N-294)
SO (N_28)
U(-N-13+2N 282)
U(-N-24+N 285)
U(N 284)
U(N 282+N 283)
U(N_279+N_280+N_281+N_282+N_283+N_284+2N_293+N_294+N_296)
U(N-80)
U(N_13)
Sp(2N 288)
Sp(2N_281+2N_293+2N_296)
Sp(2N_290+2N_292)
Sp(2N 292)
Sp (2N_291)
Sp(2N 290+2N 291)
U(N_2\overline{80}0+2N_2\overline{8}3)
U(N 280+2N 284)
U(1-N_28-N_289-N_290-N_291-N_292-N_293-N_294-N_297)
Sp(2N-293)
```

17 equations, 397 variables
(obvious splittings $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{m}) \times \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n})$ not counted)

## JUST THE SM GAUGE GROUP

6
Number of factors in hidden gauge group: 0
Gauge group: $U(3) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$
Number of representations: 19

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \times(V, V, 0,0) \text { chirality } 3 \\
& 3 \times(V, 0, V, 0) \text { chirality }-3 \\
& 3 \times(V, 0, V *, 0) \text { chirality }-3 \\
& 3 \times(0, V, 0, V) \text { chirality } 3 \\
& 5 \times(0,0, V, V) \text { chirality }-3 \\
& 3 \times(0,0, V, V *) \text { chirality } 3 \\
& \begin{array}{r}
18 \times(0, V, V, 0) \\
6 \times(V, 0,0, V)
\end{array} \\
& 2 \times(\mathrm{Ad}, 0,0,0) \\
& 2 \times(A, 0,0,0) \\
& 2 \times(S, 0,0,0) \\
& 14 \times(0, A, 0,0) \\
& 6 \times(0, S, 0,0) \\
& 9 \times(0,0, A d, 0) \\
& 6 \times(0,0, A, 0) \\
& 14 \times(0,0, S, 0) \\
& 3 \times(0,0,0, A d) \\
& 4 \times(0,0,0, A) \\
& 6 \times(0,0,0, S) \\
& \sin ^{2}\left(\theta_{w}\right)=.5271853 \\
& \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{2}}=3.2320501
\end{aligned}
$$

## SUMMARY

## Examples exist:

Q Without mirrors
Q Without adjoints
Q Without (anti)-symmetric tensors
Q Without Observable-Hidden matter
Q Without hidden sector

## SUMMARY

## Examples exist:

Without mirrorsWithout adjointsQ Without (anti)-symmetric tensors
Q Without Observable-Hidden matterWithout hidden sector
....but to get all this simultaneously requires more statistics
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## But why do we require "clean" spectra?

Presently known standard model string spectra: 3 chiral families + non-chiral mess

## We seem to have the following options:

Q Generically non-chiral states are absent and our current set of examples is too special.
Q Generically non-chiral states are present and will be seen at LHC (or beyond).
Q Generically non-chiral states are present, but they remain light and are ruled out anthropically.
Q We are Andorrans.



## SM-REALIZATIONS (2005-2006)

Chan-Paton gauge group

$$
G_{C P}=U(3)_{a} \times\left\{\begin{array}{c}
U(2)_{b} \\
S p(2)_{b}
\end{array}\right\} \times G_{c} \quad\left(\times G_{d}\right)
$$

Embedding of Y:
$Y=\alpha Q_{a}+\beta Q_{b}+\gamma Q_{c}+\delta Q_{d}+W_{c}+W_{d}$
Q: Brane charges (for unitary branes)
W: Traceless generators

## AlLowed Features

䗲（Anti）－quarks from anti－symmetric tensors

楼 leptons from anti－symmetric tensors

紸 family symmetries

塐 non－standard Y－charge assignments

䩚 Unification（Pati－Salam，（flipped）SU（5），trinification）＊

䅉 Baryon and／or lepton number violation

瞵 ．．．．
＊a，b，c，d may be identical

## CLASSIFICATION

$$
Y=\left(x-\frac{1}{3}\right) Q_{a}+\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right) Q_{b}+x \underbrace{Q_{C}+(x-1)} Q_{D}
$$

## Distributed over c and d

Allowed values for $x$
1/2 Madrid model, Pati-Salam, Flipped SU(5)
0 (broken) SU(5)
1 Antoniadis, Kiritsis, Tomaras
$-1 / 2,3 / 2$
any Trinification $(x=1 / 3) \quad$ (orientable)

## StATISTICS

| Value of x | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 21303612 |
| $1 / 2$ | $124006839^{*}$ |
| 1 | 12912 |
| $-1 / 2,3 / 2$ | 0 |
| any | 1250080 |

*Previous search: 45051902

## TERMINOLOGY

- Bottom-Up configuration:

Any hypothetical brane configuration that yields 3 chiral standard model families

- Top-Down configuration: Any such configuration realized with boundary states of Gepner models
- String Vacuum: Top-down configuration with tadpole cancellation (with or without hidden sector)


## BOTTOM-UP vs TOP-DOWN (1)

| $x$ | Config. | stack c | stack d | Bottom-up | Top-down | Occurrences | Solved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1 / 2$ | UUUU | C,D | C,D | 27 | 9 | 5194 | 1 |
| $1 / 2$ | UUUU | C | C,D | 103441 | 434 | 1056708 | 31 |
| $1 / 2$ | UUUU | C | C | 10717308 | 156 | 428799 | 24 |
| $1 / 2$ | UUUU | C | F | 351 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $1 / 2$ | UUU | C,D | - | 4 | 1 | 24 | 0 |
| $1 / 2$ | UUU | C | - | 215 | 5 | 13310 | 2 |
| $1 / 2$ | UUUR | C,D | C,D | 34 | 5 | 3888 | 1 |
| $1 / 2$ | UUUR | C | C,D | 185520 | 221 | 2560681 | 31 |
| $1 / 2$ | USUU | C,D | C,D | 72 | 7 | 6473 | 2 |
| $1 / 2$ | USUU | C | C,D | 153436 | 283 | 3420508 | 33 |
| $1 / 2$ | USUU | C | C | 10441784 | 125 | 4464095 | 27 |
| $1 / 2$ | USUU | C | F | 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\leq 3$ CP-chiral mirror pairs
$\leq 3$ CP-chiral Susy Higgs pairs
$\leq 6$ CP-chiral singlets (right-handed neutrinos)

| $x$ | Config. | stack c | stack d | Bottom-up | Top-down | Occurrences | Solved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1 / 2$ | USU | C | - | 104 | 2 | 222 | 0 |
| $1 / 2$ | USU | C,D | - | 8 | 1 | 4881 | 1 |
| $1 / 2$ | USUR | C | C,D | 54274 | 31 | 49859327 | 19 |
| $1 / 2$ | USUR | C,D | C,D | 36 | 2 | 858330 | 2 |
| 0 | UUUU | C,D | C,D | 5 | 5 | 4530 | 2 |
| 0 | UUUU | C | C,D | 8355 | 44 | 54102 | 2 |
| 0 | UUUU | D | C,D | 14 | 2 | 4368 | 0 |
| 0 | UUUU | C | C | 2890537 | 127 | 666631 | 9 |
| 0 | UUUU | C | D | 36304 | 16 | 6687 | 0 |
| 0 | UUU | C | - | 222 | 2 | 15440 | 1 |
| 0 | UUUR | C,D | C | 3702 | 39 | 171485 | 4 |
| 0 | UUUR | C | C | 5161452 | 289 | 4467147 | 32 |
| 0 | UUUR | D | C | 8564 | 22 | 50748 | 0 |
| 0 | UUR | C | - | 58 | 2 | 233071 | 2 |
| 0 | UURR | C | C | 24091 | 17 | 8452983 | 17 |
| 1 | UUUU | C,D | C,D | 4 | 1 | 1144 | 1 |
| 1 | UUUU | C | C,D | 16 | 5 | 10714 | 0 |
| 1 | UUUU | D | C,D | 42 | 3 | 3328 | 0 |
| 1 | UUUU | C | D | 870 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | UUUR | C,D | D | 34 | 1 | 1024 | 0 |
| 1 | UUUR | C | D | 609 | 1 | 640 | 0 |
| $3 / 2$ | UUUU | C | D | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $3 / 2$ | UUUU | C,D | D | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $3 / 2$ | UUUU | C, D | C | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $3 / 2$ | UUUU | C,D | C,D | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $*$ | UUUU | C,D | C,D | 2 | 2 | 5146 | 1 |
| $*$ | UUUU | C | C,D | 10 | 7 | 521372 | 3 |
| $*$ | UUUU | D | C,D | 1 | 1 | 116 | 0 |
| $*$ | UUUU | C | D | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 |

## MOST FREQUENT MODELS

| nr | Total occ. | MIPFs | Chan-Paton Group | spectrum | x | Solved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9801844 | 648 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(6) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y! |
| 2 | 8479808(16227372) | 675 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(2) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 3 | 5775296 | 821 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 4 | 4810698 | 868 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times S p(2)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 5 | 4751603 | 554 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(6) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 6 | 4584392 | 751 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times O(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 7 | 4509752(9474494) | 513 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(2) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | $1 / 2$ | Y! |
| 8 | 3744864 | 690 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times O(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 9 | 3606292 | 467 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(6) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 10 | 3093933 | 623 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 11 | 2717632 | 461 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(2) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 12 | 2384626 | 560 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times O(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 13 | 2253928 | 669 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times S p(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 14 | 1803909 | 519 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times O(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 15 | 1676493 | 517 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 16 | 1674416 | 384 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(6) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 17 | 1654086 | 340 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times U(3) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 18 | 1654086 | 340 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times U(3) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 19 | 1642669 | 360 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(6) \times U(5)$ | VVVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 20 | 1486664 | 346 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(2) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 21 | 1323363 | 476 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times O(6)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 22 | 1135702 | 350 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(2) \times U(5)$ | VVVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 23 | 1050764 | 532 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times S p(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 24 | 956980 | 421 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times O(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 25 | 950003 | 449 | $U(10) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 26 | 910132 | 51 | $U(3) \times U(2) \times S p(2) \times O(1)$ | AAVV | 0 | Y |
| 34 | 869428(1096682) | 246 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y! |
| 153 | 115466 | 335 | $U(4) \times U(2) \times U(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 22.5 | 71328 | 167 | $U(3) \times U(3) \times U(3)$ | VVV | $1 / 3$ |  |

## MOST FREQUENT MODELS

| nr | Total occ. | MIPFs | Chan-Paton Group | spectrum | x | Solved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9801844 | 648 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(6) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y! |
| 2 | 8479808(16227372) | 675 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(2) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y! |
| 3 | 5775296 | 821 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y! |
| 4 | 4810698 | 868 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times S p(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 5 | 4751603 | 554 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(6) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 6 | 4584392 | 751 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times O(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 7 | 4509752(9474494) | 513 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(2) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y! |
| 8 | 3744864 | 690 | $U(4) \times S p(2) \times O(2)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 9 | 3606292 | 467 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(6) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 10 | 3093933 | 623 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 11 | 2717632 | 461 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(2) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 12 | 2384626 | 560 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times O(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 13 | 2253928 | 669 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times S p(2)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 14 | 1803909 | 519 | $U(6) \times S p(2) \times O(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y ! |
| 15 | 1676493 | 517 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 16 | 1674416 | 384 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(6) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 17 | 1654086 | 340 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times U(3) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 18 | 1654086 | 340 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times U(3) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 19 | 1642669 | 360 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(6) \times U(5)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 20 | 1486664 | 346 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times O(2) \times U(3)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 21 | 1323363 | 476 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times O(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 22 | 1135702 | 350 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times S p(2) \times U(5)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 23 | 1050764 | 532 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times S p(2)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 24 | 956980 | 421 | $U(8) \times S p(2) \times O(2)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 25 | 950003 | 449 | $U(10) \times S p(2) \times S p(6)$ | VVV | 1/2 | Y |
| 26 | 910132 | 51 | $U(3) \times U(2) \times S p(2) \times O(1)$ | AAVV | 0 | Y |
| 34 | 869428(1096682) | 246 | $U(3) \times S p(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ | VVVV | 1/2 | Y ! |
| 153 | 115466 | 335 | $U(4) \times U(2) \times U(2)$ | VVV | $1 / 2$ | Y |
| 22.5 | 71328 | 167 | $U(3) \times U(3) \times U(3)$ | VVV | $1 / 3$ |  |

## SU(5)



Note: gauge group is just $\operatorname{SU}(5)$ !
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## CONCLUSIONS

糕 Classification and construction of bottom－up models
敖 Huge number of bottom－up possibilities
楼 Huge number of top－down models
颣 Still，only small fraction of bottom－up options realized
㩧 Results dominated by $x=1 / 2$
解 Very clean $\operatorname{SU}(5)$＇s．．．．
擈 ．．．．But are they good for anything？

