The Relativistic Quantum World

A lecture series on Relativity Theory and Quantum Mechanics





Marcel Merk Studium Generale Maastricht Nov 1 – Nov 29, 2023

The Relativistic Quantum World

Relativity	Nov. 1:	Lecture 1: The Principle of Relativity and the Speed of Light Lecture 2: Time Dilation and Lorentz Contraction
	Nov. 8:	Lecture 3: The Lorentz Transformation and Paradoxes Lecture 4: General Relativity and Gravitational Waves
Quantum Mechanics	Nov 15 [.]	Lecture 5: The Early Quantum Theory
	Nov. 13.	Lecture 6: Feynman's Double Slit Experiment Lecture 7: Wheeler's Delayed Choice and Schrodinger's Cat
		Lecture 8: Quantum Reality and the EPR Paradox
Standard Model	Nov. 29:	Lecture 9: The Standard Model and Antimatter Lecture 10: Why is there something rather than nothing?

Lecture notes, written for this course, are available: <u>www.nikhef.nl/~i93/Teaching/</u> Prerequisite for the course: High school level physics & mathematics.

Quantum Mechanics



Quantum Mechanics



The *nature* of light is quanta

m Light is *emitted*



Ma

No, similar to sound light consists of waves

aves

ens

а

"Particle" and "Wave" are complementary aspects.

Yes, because it *interferes*



Particles are probability waves







With the second se

Niels Boh

Uncertainty Relation

It is *not* possible to determine *position* and *momentum* at the same time:

Werner Heisenberg



 $\Delta x \ \Delta p \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$

Erwin Schrödinger

A particle *does not have* well defined position and momentum at the same time.

Complementarity

Subatomic matter is not just waves and it is not just particles. It is nothing we know from macroscopic world.





<u>Copenhagen Interpretation (Niels Bohr, Max Born)</u>: $Prob(x, t) = |\psi(x, t)|^2$

One can observe wave *or* particle characteristics of quantum objects, *never both* at the same time.

Particle and Wave aspects of a physical object are *complementary*

Similarly one can never determine from a quantum object at the same time: *energy and time, position and momentum* and more (eg. *spin components*).

Richard Feynman and the double slit experiment



The double slit experiment demonstrates the fundamental aspect of the quantum world.

Case 1: Experiment with Bullets

A gun fires bullets in random direction. Slits 1 and 2 are openings through which bullets can pass. A moveable detector "collects" bullets and counts them.



When both slits are open: $P_{12} = P_1 + P_2$

We can just add up the probabilities.

Case 2: Experiment with Waves

Let waves pass the slits. When both slits are open there are two contributions to the wave the oscillation at the detector: $W(t) = W_1(t) + W_2(t)$



Interference pattern: $I_{12} = |W_1 + W_2|^2 = h_1^2 + h_2^2 + 2h_1h_2\cos(\Delta\phi)$ Regions where waves are *amplified* and regions where waves are *cancelled*.

Case 3: Experiment with Electrons



Case 3: Experiment with Electrons



Case 4: Watch the Electrons

When we **watch** through which slit the electrons go, we destroy the interference! Now the electron behaves just like a classical particle ("bullet").



It requires an observation to let the quantum wave function "collapse" into reality. As long as no measurement is made the wave function keeps "all options open".

If you watch *half the time*; you only get the interference for the cases you *did not watch*.

Lecture 7

Wheeler's Delayed Choice Experiment

"Your theory is crazy, but not crazy enough to be true."

- Niels Bohr

"Nothing exists, until it is measured."

- Niels Bohr
- "I don't like it, and I'm sorry I ever had anything to do with it."
- Erwin Schrödinger



Case 5: The Delayed Choice Experiment





Case 4: Watch the Electrons



Wheeler's Suggestion (1978)

<u>John Wheeler (1911 – 2008):</u> Famous for work on gravitation (Black holes – quantum gravity)

Replace detectors D_1 and D_2 with telescopes T_1 and T_2 which are focused on slits 1 and 2

Bohr's complementarity: A quantum cannot be a particle and a wave at the same time. What happens if we *afterwards check* whether the electron went through slit 1 or slit 2?





Even better: we can *suddenly decide* to look at the electrons or not. We decide whether or not to look *after* the electrons passed the slits!





What will we see?

An wave interference (black) pattern or a bullet-like non-interference (red-green) pattern?

Thought Experiment with Gravitational Lensing

What if we make the distance from slits to screen *very long*?



- Light beams bend in gravitation field.
- Two different light-paths can arrive in the same position in our eyes/telescope.
- We then see the same object in two locations.
- → We can make a "double slit" experiment





What if we make the distance from slits to screen *very long*?

Wheeler uses "gravitational lensing" as a "double slit". In this case the electrons are replaced by photons.



Then, either: Project image of T_1 and T_2 on separate screens, Or: Combine the image of T_1 and T_2 on one screen → QM: no interference!
→ QM: interference!

What if we make the distance from slits to screen *very long*?



Then, either: Project image of T_1 and T_2 on separate screens, Or: Combine the image of T_1 and T_2 on one screen → QM: no interference!
→ QM: interference!

What if we make the distance from slits to screen *very long*?

Wheeler uses "gravitational lensing" as a "double slit". In this case the electrons are replaced by photons.



Then, either: Project image of T_1 and T_2 on separate screens,Or:Combine the image of T_1 and T_2 on one screen

→ QM: no interference!
→ QM: interference!

<u>Crucial point:</u> it must be *impossible* to know which path the photon took!

Even better: we can *suddenly decide* to look at the electrons. Suppose we decide (random) to look *after* the electrons passed the slits!





What will we see?

An wave interference (black) pattern or a bullet-like non-interference (red-green) pattern?

Answer: "Bullets". We still have killed the interference by measuring!!!



© 2011 JESSE TAHIRALI

THIS IS EXACTLY HOW WAVE-PARTICLE DUALITY WORKS

GODSOFTHEMOON.COM

Alain Aspect and his team have done the experiment! In yet another way: using photons in the lab.

They used beam-splitters to create two alternative routes for a photon to reach the same place. Path 1 = Path 2 = 48 m







Three Equivalent Experiments

ELECTR GUN



mirror

Path 1

BSinput

Situation 1: "Are you a *particle*?" (*open* BS_{output})



Situation 2: "Are you a *wave*?" (*closed* BS_{output})



Answer: "Yes!" (Photon never on 2 paths)





Phase shift Φ (rad)

Counts

Situation 1: "Are you a *particle*?" (*open* BS_{output})



Path 1

pulse

BSinput



 2π

8π

6π

Phase shift Φ (rad)

10π

7mirror





The Copenhagen Interpretation



Particle-Wave duality: one of the great mysteries of quantum mechanics.

Complementarity: A quantum object is **both** a particle and a wave. A measurement can illustrate **either** particle **or** wave nature but not both at the same time, because the object is affected by the act of measurement.

Paradox (thought experiment) invented by Erwin Schrödinger in 1935 to demonstrate that the Copenhagen interpretation makes no sense.





Compare quantum choice with double slit situation.

In a radioactive source, a single random quantum event has 50% probability to trigger a lever arm and break a flask containing deadly poison.

Paradox (thought experiment) invented by Erwin Schrödinger in 1935 to demonstrate that the Copenhagen interpretation makes no sense.





In a radioactive source, a single random quantum event has 50% probability to trigger a lever arm and break a flask containing deadly poison.

Paradox (thought experiment) invented by Erwin Schrödinger in 1935 to demonstrate that the Copenhagen interpretation makes no sense.

In simple mathematics: probability is ψ^2 The wave function of the *particle in 2-slit ("superposition"):* $\psi_{wave} = \psi_{left} + \psi_{right}$ *"Interference"* Probability before measurement: $(\psi_{wave})^2 = (\psi_{left} + \psi_{right})^2 = (\psi_{left})^2 + (\psi_{right})^2 + 2\psi_{left} \cdot \psi_{right}$ *Measurement: force the particle to go left or right!*



In a radioactive source, a single random quantum event has 50% probability to trigger a lever arm and break a flask containing deadly poison.

Paradox (thought experiment) invented by Erwin Schrödinger in 1935 to demonstrate that the Copenhagen interpretation makes no sense.

In simple mathematics: probability is ψ^2 The wave function of the *cat in the box ("superposition"):* $\psi_{cat} = \psi_{alive} + \psi_{dead}$ *"Interference"* Probability before measurement: $(\psi_{cat})^2 = (\psi_{alive} + \psi_{dead})^2 = (\psi_{alive})^2 + (\psi_{dead})^2 = 2\psi_{alive} \cdot \psi_{dead}$ *Measurement: force cat to be either dead or alive!*

In a radioactive source, a single random quantum event has 50% probability to trigger a lever arm and break a flask containing deadly poison.

Is the cat both dead and alive before we open the box to observe?





"Wigner's Friend" problem: Who is observer? When does the wave function collapse? Is it the cat? The Experimenter? The press reporter? Or you when you hear the news? Does it require consciousness?

Paradox (thought experiment) invented by Erwin Schrödinger in 1935 to demonstrate that the Copenhagen interpretation makes no sense.







In a radioactive source, a single random quantum event has 50% probability to trigger a lever arm and break a flask containing deadly poison.

Is the cat both dead and alive before we open the box to observe?

"Wigner's Friend" problem: Who is observer? When does the wave function collapse? Is it the cat? The Experimenter? The press reporter? Or you when you hear the news? Does it require consciousness?

Paradox (thought experiment) invented by Erwin Schrödinger in 1935 to demonstrate that the Copenhagen interpretation makes no sense.





In a radioactive source, a single random quantum event has 50% probability to trigger a lever arm and break a flask containing deadly poison.

Is the cat both dead and alive before we open the box to observe?





"Wigner's Friend" problem: Who is observer? When does the wave function collapse? Is it the cat? The Experimenter? The press reporter? Or you when you hear the news? Does it require consciousness?

John Archibald Wheeler: The Participatory Universe

- Inventor of terms:
 - "black hole", "worm hole", "quantum foam"
- Famous book on gravitation
- Proposed a one-electron universe
- PhD supervisor
 - Richard Feynman
 - Hugh Everett III
- Participatory universe: "it from bit"



John Wheeler: "The real reason universities have students is to educate the professors"

Wheeler: 20 Questions Analogy

A Word Game:

- At a party one guest has to guess a word that is agreed upon by the others asking questions to be answered with "yes"/"no".
- → The pre-existing word is guessed.

Alternative game:

- No word is agreed at beginning. Each person in turn answers yes/no consistently with all previous "yes"/"no" answers.
- Gets more and more difficult
- Finally the person guessing says: "Is it a cloud?" Answer: "Yes!"
- → There was no pre-existing word. The final word was *brought into being* by the questions asked.

Analogy:

Nature gives consistent answers on quantum questions asked by the "collapse of the wave function"
 The observer *creates reality* by making an observation.

"No phenomenon is a real phenomenon until it is an observed phenomenon." - John Archibald Wheeler

The "20-Q" game



"It from Bit" and "Participatory Universe"



It from Bit symbolizes the idea that every item of the physical world has at bottom an immaterial source and explanation... that all things physical are information-theoretic in origin and that this is a participatory universe.

— John Archibald Wheeler —

AZQUOTES

Build a gravitational wave detector and look back directly at the big bang....

The universe does not exist "out there independent of all acts of observation." - John Archibald Wheeler



33



