"Elementary Particles" Lecture 2

Niels Tuning Harry van der Graaf Ernst-Jan Buis Martin Fransen

Plan



Plan



Schedule

- 1) 11 Feb: Accelerators (Harry vd Graaf) + Special relativity (Niels Tuning)
- 2) 18 Feb: Quantum Mechanics (Niels Tuning)
- 3) 25 Feb: Interactions with Matter (Harry vd Graaf)
- 4) 3 Mar: Light detection (Harry vd Graaf)
- 5) 10 Mar: Particles and cosmics (Niels Tuning)
- 6) 17 Mar: Astrophysics and Dark Matter (Ernst-Jan Buis)
- 7) 24 Mar: Forces (Niels Tuning)

break

- 8) 21 Apr: e⁺e⁻ and ep scattering (Niels Tuning)
- 9) 28 Apr: Gravitational Waves (Ernst-Jan Buis)
- 10) 12 May: Higgs and big picture (Niels Tuning)
- 11) 19 May: Charged particle detection (Martin Franse)
- 12) 26 May: Applications: experiments and medical (Martin Franse)

13) 2 Jun: Nikhef excursie

14) 8 Jun: CERN excursie

Thanks

- Ik ben schatplichtig aan:
 - Dr. Ivo van Vulpen (UvA)
 - Prof. dr. ir. Bob van Eijk (UT)
 - Prof. dr. M. Merk (VU)

Plan

	1) Intro: Standard Model & Relativity	11 Feb
1900-1940	2) Basis	18 Feb
	 Atom model, strong and weak force Scattering theory 	
1945-1965	3) Hadrons	10 Mar
	1) Isospin, strangeness	
	2) Quark model, GIM	
1965-1975	4) Standard Model	24 Mar
	1) QED	
	2) Parity, neutrinos, weak inteaction	
	3) QCD	
1975-2000	5) e ⁺ e ⁻ and DIS	21 Apr
2000-2015	6) Higgs and CKM	12 May

1 Lorentz transformation

- a) The Galilean transformation of the space coordinate, from coordinate system S to system S', with relative velocity v, is given by x' = x vt. What is the Galilean transformation of the time coordinate, between two inertial observers?
- b) The Galilean transformation of the space coordinate x, from system S' to S, is given by x = x' - vt. Let's find the corresponding transformation if we assume that the speed of light is equal in systems S and S', i.e. x' = ct' and x = ct. We modify the Galilean transformation rules, by $x' = \gamma(x - vt)$ and find the expression for γ :

$$x' = \gamma(x - vt) \stackrel{x=ct}{=} \gamma(ct - vt) \tag{1}$$

$$x = \gamma(x' - vt') \stackrel{x' \equiv ct'}{=} \gamma(ct' - vt')$$
⁽²⁾

This leads to:

$$\frac{x'}{\gamma} = \frac{ct'}{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma(ct - vt)}{\gamma} = (ct - vt)$$
(3)

Eliminate t in the above expression, and give the expression for γ .

b) t' = t

a) Find the expression for γ :

$$x' = \gamma(x - vt) \stackrel{\text{x=ct}}{=} \gamma(ct - vt)$$

$$x' = ct'$$

This leads to:

$$\frac{x'}{\gamma} = \frac{ct'}{\gamma} = (ct - vt)$$

Eliminate t in the above expression, and give expression for γ :

$$\frac{ct'}{\gamma} = (ct - vt) \stackrel{ct = \gamma(ct' + vt')}{=} \gamma(ct' + vt') - \frac{v}{c}\gamma(ct' + vt')$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\gamma^2} = (1 - v^2/c^2)$$

Niels Tuning (7)

c) Rewrite the Lorentztransformation,

$$x' = \gamma(x - vt) \tag{4}$$

$$t' = \gamma(t - \frac{v}{c^2}x), \tag{5}$$

expressing the velocity as a fraction of the speed of light, $\beta = v/c$, and the timecoordinate as $x^0 \equiv ct$.



- d) The time-coordinate, and three space coordinates can be expressed as 4-vectors $x^{\mu} = (t/c, x, y, z)$. Show that the quantity $I = \sum_{\mu=0,3} \sum_{\nu=0,3} g_{\mu\nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu} = x_{\mu} x^{\mu}$ is invariant, i.e. that I = I'. (Apply a boost in the direction of x^1 .)
- e) Suppose you want to build a muon collider, and you want to keep your muons about 30 minutes in your accelerator before they decay. What boost (ie. value for γ) is then needed for the muons? (The lifetime of muons is 2.2 μ s.) To what beam energy does this correspond? (The mass of the muon is 106 MeV/ c^2 .)

d)

$$I' = (x'^{0})^{2} - (x'^{1})^{2} - (x'^{2})^{2} - (x'^{3})^{2}$$
(10)

$$= (\gamma (x^{0} - \beta x^{1}))^{2} - (\gamma (x^{1} - \beta x^{0}))^{2} - (x^{2})^{2} - (x^{3})^{2}$$
(11)

$$= \gamma^{2}((x^{0})^{2}(1-\beta^{2})-(x^{1})^{2})(1-\beta^{2}))-(x^{\prime 2})^{2}-(x^{\prime 3})^{2}$$
(12)
$$= \gamma^{2}(1-\beta^{2})((x^{0})^{2}-(x^{1})^{2})-(x^{\prime 2})^{2}-(x^{\prime 3})^{2}$$
(12)

$$= \gamma^{-}(1-\beta^{-})((x^{-})^{-} - (x^{-})^{-}) - (x^{-})^{-} - (x^{-})^{-}$$
(13)
$$(x^{0})^{2} - (x^{0})^{2} - (x^{0$$

$$= (x^{0})^{2} - (x^{1})^{2} - (x^{2})^{2} - (x^{3})^{2} = I$$
(14)

e)

$$\gamma = \Delta t' / \Delta t = 1800/2.2 \times 10^{-6} = 8 \times 10^8$$
(15)

$$E = \gamma m_0 = 8 \times 10^8 \times 0.106 = 8 \times 10^7 \text{GeV}$$
(16)

2 Relativistic momentum

Given 4-vector calculus, we know that $p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = E^2/c^2 - \vec{p}^2 = m_0^2 c^2$.

a) Show that you get in trouble when you use $E = mc^2$ and $\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$.

b) Show that $E = \gamma m_0 c^2$ and $\vec{p} = \gamma m_0 \vec{v}$ obey $E^2/c^2 - \vec{p}^2 = m_0^2 c^2$.

a) Using
$$E = mc^2$$
 and $\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$, one finds:
 $E^2/c^2 - \vec{p}^2 = m^2c^2 - m^2v^2 = m^2(c^2 - v^2) \neq m^2c^2$
b) Using $E = \gamma m_0 c^2$ and $\vec{p} = \gamma m_0 \vec{v}$, one finds:
 $E^2/c^2 - \vec{p}^2 = \gamma^2(m^2(c^2 - v^2)) = m^2c^2\frac{1 - v^2/c^2}{1 - v^2/c^2} = m^2c^2.$

3 Center-of-mass energy

- a) Not only the space and time can be expressed as a 4-vector, but also energy and momentum can be expressed as 4-vectors, $p^{\mu} = (E/c, p_x, p_y, p_z)$. Because $p_{\mu}p^{\mu}$ is invariant, this means that the rest-mass m_0 of a particle does not change under Lorentz transformations. Show that $p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = m_0^2c^2$.
- b) Let's consider two colliding particles a and b, with 4-momenta p_a^{μ} and p_b^{μ} . We will use natural units, with c = 1 and $\hbar = 1$, so $p_a^{\mu} = (E_a, \vec{p}_a)$. We take the masses of the two colliding particles equal, $m_a = m_b = m$, and we sit in the center-of-mass frame of the system, $\vec{p}_a = -\vec{p}_b$. What are the four components of the sum of the two 4-vectors, $p_{tot}^{\mu} = (p_a^{\mu} + p_b^{\mu})$?
- c) The 'invariant mass' of the combined system, is often called the 'center-of-mass energy' of the collision. If the energy of both particles a and b is 4 TeV, what is then the center-of-mass energy, $\sqrt{s} \equiv \sqrt{p_{tot}^{\mu} p_{\mu,tot}}$?
- d) Let's consider a fixed-target collision of two protons. One proton has an energy of 4 TeV, and 4-vector p_a^{μ} , whereas the other proton is at rest, with 4-vector p_b^{μ} . What are the four components of the sum of the two 4-vectors, $p_{tot}^{\mu} = (p_a^{\mu} + p_b^{\mu})$? Give the expression for the center-of-mass energy of this system.

$$p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = (E/c)^2 - |\vec{p}|^2 = (E^2 - c^2|\vec{p}|^2)/c^2 = (m_0c^4)/c^2$$

b)

a)

$$p_{tot}^{\mu} = (p_a^{\mu} + p_b^{\mu}) = (E_a, \vec{p}_a) + (E_b, \vec{p}_b) = (E_a + E_b, 0) = (2E, 0)$$

c)

$$(E, 0, 0, \sqrt{E^2 - m^2}) + (E, 0, 0, -\sqrt{E^2 - m^2}) = (2E, 0, 0, 0)$$

 $\Rightarrow s = 4E^2 \Rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 2E = 8$ TeV

d)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (E,0,0,\sqrt{E^2-m^2})+(m,0,0,0)&=&(E+m,0,0,\sqrt{E^2-m^2})\\ \Rightarrow s=(E+m)^2-(E^2-m^2)&=&2m^2+2Em\\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{s}\sim \sqrt{2Em}&=&89 {\rm GeV} \end{array}$$

Niels Tuning (11)

- e) People were a fraid that the earth would be destroyed at the start of the LHC, planning for collisions with beams of 7 TeV each. The earth has been bombarded for billions of years with cosmic rays. What is the center-of-mass energy of the highest energetic cosmic rays (10^{21} eV) hitting the atmosphere? Was the fear justified?
- f) What is the energy of a cosmic ray hitting the atmosphere, that corresponds to the center-of-mass energy of collisions of two lead-ions ^{208}Pb with energies of 2.24 TeV per nucleon?
- g) Consider relatively low-energy proton-proton collisions, with opposite and equal momenta (ie. the center-of-mass system is at rest). In the process $p+p \rightarrow p+p+p+\bar{p}$ an extra proton-antiproton pair is created. What is the minimum energy of the protons to create two extra (anti)protons?

$$\sqrt{s} \sim \sqrt{2Em} = \sqrt{2 \times 10^{12} \times 1 \text{GeV}^2} \sim 10^6 \text{GeV} = 10^3 \text{TeV}$$
(24)

$$\sqrt{s} \sim \sqrt{2Em} = 14 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow E = \frac{1}{2m} (14 \times 10^3)^2 = 10^{17} \text{eV}$$
 (25)

f) (Scaled the 1.38 TeV/nucleon from 4 TeV to the expected 6.5 TeV in 2015.)

$$\sqrt{s} \sim \sqrt{2Em} = 2 \times 208 \times 2.24 \text{ TeV} \sim 10^3 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow E = \frac{1}{2m} (10^6 \text{ GeV})^2 = 5 \times 10^{20} \text{eV}$$
(26)

g)

e)

Before:
$$s = 4E^2$$
 (27)

After_{min}:
$$s = (4m)^2 \Rightarrow E_{min} = 2m = 2 \text{GeV}$$
 (28) iels Tuning (12)

Lecture 1: Standard Model & Relativity

• Standard Model Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{AL} F^{AL} \\ &+ i \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} + h.c. \\ &+ \mathcal{F} \mathcal{Y}_{ij} \mathcal{F}_{j} \mathcal{P} + h.c. \\ &+ |P_{A} \mathcal{P}|^{2} - V(\mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$$

• Standard Model Particles



Lecture 1: Standard Model & Relativity

- Theory of relativity
 - Lorentz transformations ("boost")
 - Calculate energy in colissions

• 4-vector calculus

$$p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = (E/c)^2 - |\vec{p}|^2 = (E^2 - c^2|\vec{p}|^2)/c^2 = (m_0c^4)/c^2$$

$$x^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{0} \\ x^{1} \\ x^{2} \\ x^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$$

• High energies needed to make (new) particles



$$\begin{split} s &= \left(\, p_1 + p_2 \, \right)^2 \, = \, 2m^2 \, + \, 2 \Big(E^2 \, + \, \vec{p}^2 \, \Big) \\ &= \, 2m^2 \, + \, 2E^2 \, + \, 2 \Big(E^2 \, - \, m^2 \, \Big) = \, 4E^2 \end{split}$$

Outline for today

• Quantum mechanics: equations of motions of wave functions

- Schrodinger, Klein Gordon, Dirac
- Forces
 - Strong force, pion exchange
 - Weak nuclear force, decay
- Scattering Theory
 - Rutherford (classic) and QM
 - "Cross section"
 - Coulomb potential
 - Yukawa potential
 - Resonances

D. Griffiths

"Introduction to Elementary Particles"

- Lecture 1:
 - ch.3 Relativistic kinematics
- Lecture 2:
 - ch.5.1 Schrodinger equation
 - ch.7.1 Dirac equation
 - ch.6.5 Scattering
- Lecture 3:
 - ch.1.7 Quarkmodel
 - ch.4 Symmetry/spin
- Lecture 4:
 - ch.7.4 QED
 - ch 11.3 Gauge theories
- Lecture 5:
 - ch.8.2 e+e-
 - ch.8.5 e+p
- Lecture 6:
 - ch.11.8 Higgs mechanism



Lecture 2: QM, Dirac and Scattering

- Introduce "matter particles"
 - spinor ψ from Dirac equation

• Introduce "force particles"

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{A\nu} F^{A\nu} \\ &+ i F \mathcal{D} \mathcal{J} + h.c. \\ &+ \mathcal{Y}_i \mathcal{Y}_{ij} \mathcal{Y}_j \mathcal{P} + h.c. \\ &+ |P_{A}\mathcal{P}|^2 - V(\mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$$

• Introduce basic concepts of scattering processes



Quantum mechanics

From classic to quantum

Why does the black body spectrum look like it does?

Why does the electron not fall onto the nucleus?



 \rightarrow *Finite* number of wavelengths (E=hv)

 \rightarrow *Finite* number of nuclear orbits

- The *wavefunction* ψ describes a system (eg. particle)
- Physical quantities are given by *operators*

Wavefunction

Each particle may be described by a wave function $\Psi(x,y,z,t)$, real or complex, having a single value for a given position (x,y,z) and time t

- In QM a particle is not *localized*
- Probability of finding a particle somewhere in a volume *V* of space:

$$P(\mathbf{r},t)dV = \left|\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)\right|^2 dV$$



• Probability to find particle anywhere in space = 1

condition of normalization:

 $\int |\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2 dV = 1$ all space

Operator

Any physical quantity is associated with an operator

- An operator O: the "recipe" to transform ψ into ψ
 - We write: $O\psi = \psi'$
- If $O\psi = o\psi$ then
 - $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ is an eigenfunction of O and
 - o is the eigenvalue.

We have "solved" the wave equation $O\psi = o\psi$ by finding simultaneously ψ and o that satisfy the equation.

 \succ o is the measure of O for the particle in the state described by ψ

Correspondence?

• What operator belongs to which physical quantity?

Classical quantity QM operator

f(x)	Any function of position, such as x, or potential V(x)	f(x)
P_x	x component of momentum (y and z same form)	$\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$
Ε	Hamiltonian (time independent)	$\frac{p_{op}^2}{2m} + V(x)$
Ε	Hamiltonian (time dependent)	$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$
E_{kin}	Kinetic energy	$\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$
$L_{\rm z}$	z component of angular momentum	$-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$

Example

Let's try operating:

•Wavefunction:

$$\Psi(x,t) = A(\cos[kx - \omega t] + i\sin[kx - \omega t]) = Ae^{ikx - \omega t}$$

•Momentum operator :

$$\hat{p}_{x}\Psi(x,t) = \frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}Ae^{i(kx-\omega t)} = \frac{\hbar}{i}ikAe^{i(kx-\omega t)} = \hbar kAe^{i(kx-\omega t)} = \hbar k\Psi(x,t)$$

•Or energy operator:

$$\hat{E}\Psi(x,t) = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Ae^{i(kx-\omega t)} = i\hbar(-i\omega)Ae^{i(kx-\omega t)} = \hbar\omega Ae^{i(kx-\omega t)} = E\Psi(x,t)$$

 $\succ \Psi$ is indeed eigenfunction ($\hbar k$ and $\hbar \omega$ are the eigenvalues for \hat{p} and \hat{E})

Expectation value

Average value of physical quantity: *expectation value*

Think of the Staatsloterij:

 $x_{i}: \text{prize}$ $p(x_{i}): \text{probability to win that prize}$ $E(X) = \sum_{i} x_{i} p(x_{i}) = 0.697 \times 13.50 = 9.41 \text{EUR}$ $\langle W \rangle = \int_{\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi^{*}(X) = 0.697 \times 10.600 \text{ m}^{2}$

 $\langle W \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi^*(x,t) \Big[\hat{W} \Psi(x,t) \Big] dx$

Example:

$$\psi(x) = Ae^{ikx} \text{ with } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [Ae^{ikx}]^* [Ae^{ikx}] dx = 1,$$

where $A \to 0$ as limits of integration $\to \infty$
 $\langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [Ae^{ikx}]^* [\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Ae^{ikx}] dx$
 $\langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [Ae^{ikx}]^* \frac{\hbar}{i} ik [Ae^{ikx}] dx = \hbar k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [Ae^{ikx}]^* [Ae^{ikx}] dx = \hbar k = p$
 $\equiv 1$
Niels Tuning (24)

Heisenberg

 $\succ k$ can be any value:

 $\Delta x \in$

How to describe a particle that is "localized" somewhere, but which is also "wave-like"?

$$\psi = Ae^{ikx}$$

>Fourier decomposition of many frequencies

- > The more frequencies you add, the more it gets localized
- The worse you know p, the better you know x !





Heisenberg

How to describe a particle that is "localized" somewhere, but which is also "wave-like" ?

Fourier decomposition of many frequencies

- > The more frequencies you add, the more it gets localized
- The worse you know p, the better you know x !





Twitter



Heisenberg

- Uncertainty relation \rightarrow Commutation relation
 - A wave function cannot be simultaneously an eigenstate of position and momentum

$$\begin{split} P_x X \Psi &= \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x \Psi \right) = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(\Psi + x \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \right) \\ X P_x \Psi &= x \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \end{split}$$

$$\left(P_{x}X - XP_{x}\right)\Psi = \left[P_{x}, X\right]\Psi = \frac{\hbar}{i}\Psi$$

- Suppose it *was*, what then??
 - Then the operators would commute:

$$(P_x X - X P_x)\Psi = (P_x x_0 - X p_0)\Psi = (x_0 p_0 - x_0 p_0)\Psi = 0$$

Schrödinger

Classic relation between E and p:

$$E = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m}$$

E

Quantum mechanical substitution: (operator acting on wave function ψ)

Schrodinger equation:

Solution:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\psi=\frac{-1}{2m}\,\nabla^2\psi$$

and

$$\psi = N \; e^{i(\vec{p}\vec{x} - Et)}$$

(show it is a solution) Niels Tuning (29)

 $\vec{p} \rightarrow -i \vec{\nabla}$

Intermezzo: "radial Schrödinger equation"

- Polar coordinates $\Psi(x, y, z, t) = \psi(x, y, z)e^{-iEt/\hbar}$
- Separate variables $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r)\Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi)$
- Three differential equations for R, ϕ , θ :

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dR}{dr}\right) = \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\left(V(r) - E\right)\right]R$$

• Radial Schrödinger equation

Potential is augmented by "centrifugal barrier": (apparent centrifugal force)

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \left[V(r) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right]u = Eu$$

Linear momentum Potential energy Angular momentum

Niels Tuning (30)

Klein-Gordon

Relativistic relation between E and p:

Quantum mechanical substitution: (operator acting on wave function ψ)

Klein-Gordon equation:

$$E^2 = \bar{p}^2 + m^2$$

 $E \to i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\phi = -\nabla^2\phi + m^2 \,\phi$$

and

or :
$$(\Box + m^2) \phi(x) = 0$$

or : $(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2) \phi(x) = 0$

 $ec{p}
ightarrow -iec{
abla}$

Solution:

$$\phi(x) = N \ e^{-ip_{\mu}x^{\mu}}$$
 with eigenvalues: $E^2 = \overline{p}^2 + m^2$

But! Negative energy solution?

$$E = \pm \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$$

Paul Dirac tried to find an equation that was

- relativistically correct,
- but <u>linear</u> in d/dt to avoid negative energies
- (and linear in d/dx (or ∇) for Lorentz covariance)

He found an equation that

- turned out to describe spin-1/2 particles and
- predicted the existence of anti-particles



How to find that relativistic, linear equation ??

Write Hamiltonian in general form,

$$H\psi = \left(\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{p} + \beta m\right) \ \psi$$

but when squared, it must satisfy:

Let's find α_i and β !

$$H^2\psi = \left(\vec{p}^2 + m^2 \right) \, \psi$$

$$H^{2}\psi = (\alpha_{i}p_{i} + \beta m)^{2}\psi \quad \text{with}: i = 1, 2, 3$$
$$= \left(\underbrace{\alpha_{i}^{2}}_{i=1}p_{i}^{2} + \underbrace{(\alpha_{i}\alpha_{j} + \alpha_{j}\alpha_{i})}_{=0}p_{i}p_{j} + \underbrace{(\alpha_{i}\beta + \beta\alpha_{i})}_{=0}p_{i}m + \underbrace{\beta^{2}}_{=1}m^{2}\right)\psi$$

So, α_i and β must satisfy:

$$\bullet \quad \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2 = \alpha_3^2 = \beta^2$$

- $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta$ anti-commute with each other
- (not a unique choice!)

$$H\psi = \left(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m\right) \,\psi$$

> What are α and β ??

The lowest dimensional matrix that has the desired behaviour is **<u>4x4</u>** !?

Often used
Pauli-Dirac representation:
$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
; $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}$ with: $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

So, α_i and β must satisfy:

•
$$\alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2 = \alpha_3^2 = \beta^2$$

- $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta$ anti-commute with each other
- (not a unique choice!)

$$H\psi = \left(\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{p} + \beta m\right)\,\psi$$

Leads to:

Multiply by β :

Usual substitution:

$$\begin{split} H &\to i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \, \vec{p} \to -i\vec{\nabla} \\ \hline i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi &= \left(-i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \beta m\right)\psi \\ \hline \left(i\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi + i\beta\alpha_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\beta\alpha_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + i\beta\alpha_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)\psi \stackrel{(\beta^2=1)}{-m\psi} = 0 \end{split}$$

Gives the famous Dirac equation:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m) \ \psi = 0$$

with : $\gamma^{\mu} = (\beta, \beta \vec{\alpha}) \equiv \text{Dirac } \gamma - \text{matrices}$

for each
 j=1,2,3,4 :
$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^{3} i (\gamma^{\mu})_{jk} \partial_{\mu} - m \delta_{jk} \right] (\psi_k) = 0$$

The famous Dirac equation:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m) \ \psi = 0$$

with : $\gamma^{\mu} = (\beta, \beta \vec{\alpha}) \equiv \text{Dirac } \gamma - \text{matrices}$



R.I.P. :
The famous Dirac equation:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m) \ \psi = 0$$

with : $\gamma^{\mu} = (\beta, \beta \vec{\alpha}) \equiv \text{Dirac } \gamma - \text{matrices}$

Remember!

- μ: Lorentz index
- 4x4 γ matrix: <u>Dirac index</u>

Less compact notation:

for each j=1,2,3,4 : $\sum_{k=1}^{4} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^{3} i (\gamma^{\mu})_{jk} \partial_{\mu} - m \delta_{jk} \right] (\psi_k) = 0$

Even less compact... :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \frac{i\partial}{\partial t} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \sigma_1 \\ -\sigma_1 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \frac{i\partial}{\partial x} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_2 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \frac{i\partial}{\partial y} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \sigma_3 \\ -\sigma_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \frac{i\partial}{\partial z} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} m \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} =$$

> What are the solutions for ψ ??

Intermezzo: The "Four-derivative"

- Transformation of contravariant 4-vector:
- Lowering the index, costs minus-sign:

Transformation of covariant 4-vec:

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mu}: \begin{vmatrix} x_{0}' = \gamma(x_{0} + \beta x_{1}) \\ -x_{1}' = \gamma(-x_{1} - \beta x_{0}) \Rightarrow x_{1}' = \gamma(x_{1} + \beta x_{0}) \\ x_{2}' = x_{2} \\ x_{3}' = x_{3}. \end{vmatrix}$$

 χ'

Derivative $\partial_{\mu} = \partial/\partial x^{\mu}$ transforms as covariant 4-vec (consistent with index):

 $(\partial_{\mu}\phi)' = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^{\mu'}} = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu'}} = \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu'}} (\partial_{\nu}\phi)$ $(\partial_{0}\phi)' = (\partial_{0}\phi) \frac{\partial x^{0}}{\partial x^{0'}} + (\partial_{1}\phi) \frac{\partial x^{1}}{\partial x^{0'}} = \gamma [(\partial_{0}\phi) + \beta(\partial_{1}\phi)]$ $(\partial_{1}\phi)' = (\partial_{0}\phi) \frac{\partial x^{0}}{\partial x^{1'}} + (\partial_{1}\phi) \frac{\partial x^{1}}{\partial x^{1'}} = \gamma [(\partial_{1}\phi) + \beta(\partial_{0}\phi)]$ And: Griffiths, p.214: * The gradient with respect to a contravariant position-time four-vector x[#] is itself a covariant four-vector, hence the placement of the index. Written out in full, equation (7.5) says (E/c, -p)

$$\partial^{\mu} = \left(\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\mu} = \left(\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right)$$

 \geq

$$\beta x_1$$
)
 $-\beta x_0$) $\Rightarrow x'_1 = \gamma (x_1 + \beta x_1)$

$$\mathcal{L} : \begin{bmatrix} x^{\cdot 0} = \gamma \left(x^0 - \beta x^1 \right) \\ x^{\cdot 1} = \gamma \left(x^1 - \beta x^0 \right) \\ x^{\cdot 2} = x^2 \\ x^{\cdot 3} = x^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$H\psi =$

 $H\psi = \left(\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{p} + \beta m\right) \ \psi$

Dirac

The famous Dirac equation:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m) \ \psi = 0$$

with : $\gamma^{\mu} = (\beta, \beta \vec{\alpha}) \equiv \text{Dirac } \gamma - \text{matrices}$

Solutions to the Dirac equation? Try plane wave: $\psi(x) = u(p) e^{-2\pi i x}$

$$\psi(x) = u(p) e^{-ipx}$$

$$(\gamma^{\mu}p_{\mu} - m) u(p) = 0$$

or: $(\not p - m) u(p) = 0$

Linear set of eq:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} E - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} p^i - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} m \left[\begin{pmatrix} u_A \\ u_B \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

2 coupled equations:

$$\begin{cases} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \ u_B &= (E-m) \ u_A \\ (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \ u_A &= (E+m) \ u_B \end{cases}$$

If
$$p=0$$
: $u^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$, $u^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$, $u^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$, $u^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$

Niels Tuning (39)

$$H\psi = \left(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m\right) \,\psi$$

٦

The famous Dirac equation:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m) \ \psi = 0$$
with : $\gamma^{\mu} = (\beta, \beta\vec{\alpha}) \equiv \text{Dirac } \gamma - \text{matrices}$
Solutions to the Dirac equation?
Try plane wave: $\psi(x) = u(p) \ e^{-ipx}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\gamma^{\mu}p_{\mu} - m) \ u(p) = 0 \\ \text{or : } (\not p - m) \ u(p) = 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
> 2 coupled equations:
If $p\neq 0$:
Two solutions for E>0:
(and two for E<0)

$$u^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} u^{(1)}_{A} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} u^{(2)}_{A} \\ u^{(2)}_{B} \end{pmatrix}$$
with:

$$u^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \\ u^{(1)}_{B} = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E+m} u^{(1)}_{A} = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E+m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u^{(2)}_{B} = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E+m} u^{(2)}_{A} = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E+m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

т

Niels Tuning (40)

$$H\psi = \left(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m\right) \,\psi$$



 $H\psi = \left(\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{p} + \beta m\right)\,\psi$

The famous Dirac equation:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m) \psi = 0$$

with : $\gamma^{\mu} = (\beta, \beta \vec{\alpha}) \equiv \text{Dirac } \gamma - \text{matrices}$

 ψ is 4-component spinor

4 solutions correspond to fermions and anti-fermions with spin+1/2 and -1/2

> What do we need this for ??

Needed e.g. to calculate the probability for a scattering process like:



Niels Tuning (42)

Prediction of anti-matter

- Dirac found his equation in 1928
- The existence of anti-matter was not taken serious until 1932, when Anderson discovered the anti-electron: the <u>positron</u>

Discovery of anti-matter





Nobelprize 1936





Niels Tuning (44)

What else happened in 1932 ?

• Discovery of the neutron, by J. Chadwick



> What was known at that time about the nucleus?

Nobelprize 1935





Hypothesis:

0

 $V \propto$



 $d\sigma$

 $d\Omega$

 (θ)

6 MeV alpha particle

 $\left(\frac{ZZ'}{4E}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sin^4(\theta/2)}$

Measurement:

Metal.	Atomic weight.	Ζ.	z/Å ^{3/2} .	
Lead	207	62	208	
Gold	197	67	242	
Platinum	195	63	232	
Гin	119	34	226	
Silver	108	27	241	
Dopper	64	14.5	225	
[ron	56	10.2	250	
Aluminium	27	3.4	243	100

Number of back-scattered particles $\sim A^{3/2}$

The Number of Possible Elements and Mendeléeff's "Cubic" Periodic System.

According to Rutherford's theory of "single scattering" (" On the Scattering of α and β Particles by Matter and the Structure of the Atom," Phil. Mag., May, 1911), and to Barkla's "Note on the Energy of Scattered X-Radiation " (ibid.), the numbers of electrons per atom is half the atomic weight; thus, for U, about 120. Now, a reconstruction of Mendeléeff's "cubic" periodic system, as suggested in his famous paper "Die Beziehungen zwischen den Eigenschaften der Elemente und ihren Atomgewichten " (Ostw. Klass., No. 68, pp. 32, 36, 37, and 74), gives a constant mean difference between consecutive atomic weights =2, and thus, from H to U, 120 as the number of possible elements (van den Broek, "Das Mendelejeff'sche 'Kubische' Periodische System der Elemente und die Einordnung der Radioelemente in dieses System," Physik. Zeitschr. 12, p. 490). Hence, if this cubic periodic system should prove to be correct, then the number of possible elements is equal to the number of possible permanent charges of each sign per atom, or to each possible permanent charge (of both signs) per atom belongs a possible element. A. VAN DEN BROEK.

Noordwijk-Zee, June 23.



Antonius van den Broek

July 1911

a doarn fine apeciments of Panleulins, the largest of which managered noisely four fast in length. The bod must be of considerable esteries, as the haule were not on the same upst, and both seconds. and both brought up equally good specimum of these magni-ficest percatalide. Most of the large specimens of Furri-nalina, by the way, were not caught in the branchast, but autas, by the way, were not caught in the spaucher, but wave halmout acress the france of the frances, at such card, in such a preservices position as to raske one wander have approximately and hear has the in handing in. The bettoes depedit was evidently fine mod. W. A. Hasrows-S. W. Rame, Sound of Ions, July 11.

the Non-simultaneity of Soldesly Beginning Magnetic Storms.

On the Supposed Propagation of 'Equietic Distorbosces with Velacities of the Order Miles per Second," read before the Physical of a Hund al a function fulling per second, insu obtain the reported Society of Loon, Normeler r1, 100, and published in the Proceedings of r1 acciety, rel. reali, pp. ag-19, Dr. Chrw. in reviewing ref. ser published in the full-based of Tarren-trial Hagnetism (ref. p. pp. ag-10g), expressed source during as in any research of the second multimethy of as to exp views on the subject of 1 raddenly beginning magnetic storms. It essens to me that they should a

a should not be any doubt as to my position on this point mentioned paper (los, cit, when I stated in my aboveore) that the evidence there presented confirmed what Dr. ouer had stated, namely, at the same lostant all over the world, and added a little further on that a new view-point in the discussion and analysis is thus introduced, meaning that a r now be had on account of this monis of magnetic storms w view-point must sultaneity enzymence of the beginning of the storms chich. | believe, the data shows to colst.

I agree with Dr. Bauer in his cenalus that the alwayth beginning rangeetic storms are not and all over the world, and this conchainen, it seams supported, not only by the doos in my paper, but in this paper which appeared pelor, and in that w no ita secue me, de in a appeared subsequent, in mins. U.S. Coast and Gendetic Survey.

The Number of Pomible Elements and Mendeleef's "Cubic " Periodic System,

Accessme to Rutherferd's theory of "slagle souther-ing"," On the Scattoring of a and & Particles by Moter-side the Enruration of the Alamin, "Field. Mag., May, south, and to Backla's "Note on the Easergy of Scattered X-Radae tion." (BAU), the random of electrons per store, is half the atomic weight; thris, for U, abact iso. Now, a ro-construction of Maddleff's "cathe" periodilic splitner, an angeotect in the famous paper "Die Bacishangso resident periodige in the famous paper "Die Bacishangso resident periodige in the famous paper "Die Bacishangso resident periodige". Other, Kleint, No. 68, pp. 32, 35, 37, see dimension of Backleff's University of the Interna-tional states and theras, from H to U, ros as the number of possible and then, from H to U, ros as the states weights and at then, from H to U, ros as the states weights and and then, from H to U, ros as the states weights and then, from H to U, ros as the states of possible "Katheless from dan Breede, "Das Hendaldeffyright Zeitshang der Radiochematis to dieses System, "Papith Zeitshang in the internation of states periodige system should poor to Aspir. How manifer and paratic densers to again to the method of possible per-manent charges of each sign per store holings a goodide element. Naveley (d) beth signs) per store holings a goodide element. Naveley in the store of the control of the store of possible densers to again to the method of a goodide elements to again the the store of the store of possible densers to again to the method of a goodide element. Naveley (d) beth signs) per store holing as goodide element. Naveley its and store of the store of t Accommon to Ratherford's theory of "single souther A. VAN DES BROER. Noordwijk-Zen, June 13.

Phases of Evolution and Heredity.

I second flor your reviewer of the above book in Naruser for May 25 to consider the following points :---

for Hoy 23 to consider the source parts on 1. Is a tablebard avoing where the multi-set read in plants, the utilizate ratios considered as due to a probability continuation of the egiscalit and policy grains the inflations of which recommitly each within a generation. explain why we do not get the ratio in the plants coming out in P'.

n. To ray curry, "How is the recessive element en-pressed in P*7. It has not disappeared as it reappears in NO. 2177, VOL. 87]

NATURE

3° unnitured. It is not expressed in the 'same' of the plant: where is it?" your reviewer answers "In the garm-cells."

germ-terms. If, however, the determinants of the recentres are en-pressed in the germ-colls, i.e., in the propagative part of the place, so must these for the impure demission and doreinant plante. These plants sugregate in a start ratio, and therefore the determinants for the contract recov, and measures the determinance for the catteness anti-characters must be in this ratio is the propagathe part of the compares. Does the reviewer set mine the accuracy of my view after all? D. Benas Hatt. 5 Randolph Cliff, Edinburgh.

I ran it very difficult to follow Dr. Berry Hart. If he means, by the question which concludes his letter, and and whether I accept his theory or truly representing, once and for all, the causes which describes the Mendelman ritio 11 at 1, and a start is an anguatified negative; per because I thick I know what the true theory is, but because I do not thick the time is your ripe to formulas its D. Hart's theory is evidently different from the second Manadium theory is and the start form the accepted Mandalian theory; and it may be nearer the accepted Annuarman tracey, further experiment alone truth. Whether it is or not, further experiment alone Tog Reviewan.

Available Laboratory Attendants.

THE London County Council has for some time been referring to us a certain number of boys who have been trained as laboratory attendants in their higher grads and secondary schools, and whose services they are unable to retain after they have attained seventeen years of age. We works or laboratories for these boys, who are of a dis-tinctly superior type and same of whom have grafited by finir experience to pass the Board of Education examinations in integratic chamistry. Same of these bars who were placed by us, thanks to a

better published by you inst year, me daing wall and giving satisfactian to their respective employers. Should any of your residers, new or ot any fature time, have a vanage for such a lod, I should be glied to ber

frage him. G. E. REISE, Ron. Sec.

Appenticable and Skilled Employment Association, 36 Devises Heare, 207, Vauchall Beidge Read, London, S.W. July 6.

Mersenne's Numbers,

I count to associate the discovery which I have made that $(a^{m}-i)$ is divided by 4344. This learner only 56 the manufacture $(a_{i}-i)$ originally reported composite by Mersense, at II unrealised. I have submitted my determination to $L_{i}^{-1}(A_{i})$. Allow Considering and the submitted my determination of $L_{i}^{-1}(A_{i})$. Allow Considering the submitted my determination of $L_{i}^{-1}(A_{i})$. Allow Considering the submitted my determination of the submitted my dete kindly verified it.

It is interesting to know that while $(x^{im}-1)$ is dividible by 43447, the quark-ve when divided by this number (a_{1444}) knows a remainder a sign. This latter result has been verified by two divideas.

HERREST J. WOOMAL Marleet Place, Steelsport, June 12.

The Fox and the Fleas.

Sour readers of NATURE may be interested in seeing the following passage from one of Lickig's letters to Wolker, dated Giasseo, June 24, rise, as showing that the story has long been families, at load in Germany in-

has long been farming, at some in termany see. "Due findelissenterberhene Geschaft ist som, sie helm Fucht die Filbe is dem Bladel Hea, in einer Schlage gefangen ...," ke. The Onka, Northwood, Middlewer, July 10.

Cabbage White Butterfly.

Worno some entomologist state if he knows of any reference to the fact that the larvae of the Large Cabbage White seek to arrange themselves in pairs-male and female-when they pupate?

Can the access be distinguished enternally in the larvel and in the pupal singler? E. W. Rose, Sutherland Technical School, Golspie.

'Hey, that is funny... looking at Rutherford's results, one notices that the number of electrons per atom is precisely halve the atom mass.' (Note: Proton only proposed in 1920)

What else happened in 1932 :

• Discovery of the neutron, by J. Chadwick



 $\alpha + Be \rightarrow \text{non-ionizing radiation}$



Niels Tuning (48)



Strong interaction

- 1932: discovery of neutron
 - $\alpha + {}^{9}Be \rightarrow n + {}^{12}C$
- Nuclear effect only \rightarrow short range

- > What can you then deduce about:
 - Energy scale
 - Potential

Yukawa

- 1935: Introduced *strong* carriers on *small* distances
- Massive particle, that exists only shortly
 - 'virtual' particle

Compare:

- Electro-magnetism
 - Infinite range
 - Transmitted by massless photon
 - Coulomb potential
- Strong force
 - Finite range
 - Transmitted by massive pion
 - Yukawa potential

$$= -g^2 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r}$$
 R: range



$$V(r) = -e^2 \frac{1}{r} \qquad R -$$

U(r)

 $R \rightarrow \infty$

Yukawa

- 1935: Introduced *strong* carriers on *small* distances
- Massive particle, that exists only shortly
 - 'virtual' particle



- Strong force
 - Finite range

$$U(r) = -g^2 \frac{e^{-r/R}}{r} \quad R: range$$

- Transmitted by massive pion
- Yukawa potential





Yukawa's pions – pictures

Powell used a new detection technique

Photographic emulsion:

- Thick photosensitive film
- Charged particles leave tracks

Results: two particles (pion and muon)

1947 Discovery of pion (Powell): Nobelprijs 1950
 1935 Prediction of pion (Yukawa): Nobelprijs 1949

π-meson, m=140 MeV, short lifetime
 Produced high in atmosphere and decays before reaching sealevel.

muon (μ), m=105 MeV, long lifetime
 Reaches sea-level and weakly interacts with matter



Intermezzo: Strong force nowadays:

Yukawa:

"Effective" description Still useful to describe some features! In particular at "lower energies"



Gluons:

More fundamental description But fails at low energies...



Intermezzo: Strong force nowadays:

Yukawa:

"Effective" description (≠ wrong!)
Still useful to describe some features!
In particular at "lower energies"



Gluons:

More fundamental description But fails at low energies...



Radioactive decay

- 1895: Röntgen discovered radiation from vaccum tubes (γ)
- 1895: Bequerel measured radiation from ²³⁸U (n)
- 1898: Curie measured radiation from ²³²Th (a)
- 1899: Rutherford concluded $\alpha \neq \beta$
- 1914: Rutherford determined wavelength of γ (scattering of crystals)



Link with Modern physics

- β-decay: weak interaction
 - W-exchange



- α-decay: strong interaction
 - Pions (gluons?!) keeps nucleus together



- γ-decay: electro-magnetic interaction
 - Excited states



Particle Decay

t

1) Number of decayed particles, dN, is proportional to: N, dt and constant Γ :

+_d+

$$V_{0} = -N \Gamma dt$$

$$N = N_{0}e^{-\Gamma t}$$

$$= N_{0}e^{-t/\tau}$$

$$Iifetime \qquad \tau = \frac{1}{T_{fi}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma}$$

2) States that decay, do not correspond to one specific energy level, but have a "width" ΔE :

Heisenberg:
$$\Delta E \Delta t \sim \hbar$$
 $\Delta E \ \tau \sim \hbar$ $\Delta E \sim \hbar / \tau = \hbar \Gamma$

>The width of a particle is inverse proportional to its lifetime!

Quantum mechanical description of decay

State with energy $E_0(\hbar\omega)$ and lifetime τ To allow for decay, we need to change the time-dependence:



Resonance



Resonance-structure contains information on:

- Mass
- Lifetime
- Decay possibilities



Outline for today

• Quantum mechanics: equations of motions of wave functions

- Schrodinger, Klein Gordon, Dirac
- Forces
 - Strong force, pion exchange
 - Weak nuclear force, decay

Scattering Theory

- Rutherford (classic) and QM
- "Cross section"
- Coulomb potential
- Yukawa potential
- Resonance

Decay and Scattering: <u>decay width</u> and <u>cross section</u>

$$a \rightarrow b + c$$

- *Decay width* is reciprocal of decay time:
- Total width is sum of *partial widths*:
- *Branching fraction* for certain decay mode:
- Unit: inverse seconds

• Scattering
$$a + b \rightarrow c + d$$

- Parameter of interest is "size of target", cross section σ
- Total cross section is sum of possible processes:
- Unit: surface

Golden rule:

transition rate =
$$\frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \times \text{(phase space)}$$





Fermi's Golden Rule



Fermi's "golden rule" gives: The transition probability to go from initial state i to final state f

Amplitude \mathcal{M} :

contains dynamical information fundamental physics

<u>Phase space φ</u>

contains kinematic information masses, momenta

transition rate =
$$\frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \times (\text{phase space})$$

 Classical calculation of cross section of a scattering process





- Scatter from spherical potential
- Incoming: impact parameter between b and db
- Outgoing: scattering angle between θ and $d\theta$
- > 3d: incoming particle "sees" surface d σ , and scatters off solid angle d Ω



- > 3d: incoming particle "sees" surface $d\sigma$, and scatters off solid angle $d\Omega$
- Calculate:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = D(\theta, \varphi)$$

$$d\sigma = \begin{vmatrix} b \ db \ d\varphi \end{vmatrix}$$
$$d\Omega = \left| \sin \theta \ d\theta \ d\varphi \right|$$



- > 3d: incoming particle "sees" surface $d\sigma$, and scatters off solid angle $d\Omega$
- Conservation of angular momentum:
- Force:



$$F(r) = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 \alpha}{r^2}$$



> 3d: incoming particle "sees" surface $d\sigma$, and scatters off solid angle $d\Omega$ Before $L = mv_0 b$

L = mr

After:

- Conservation of angular momentum:
- Force:



Rutherford scattering \rightarrow Cross section

Differential cross section



- Luminosity $\mathcal L$
 - $\succ \mathcal{L} = dN/d\sigma$
 - Number of incoming particles per unit surface

$$dN = \mathcal{L}d\sigma = \mathcal{L}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}d\Omega$$

Scattering Theory: QM

• Describe a <u>stationary</u> state, that satisfies the incoming and outgoing wave $\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right)\psi(\vec{r}) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}V(\vec{r})\psi(\vec{r})$





 $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi(\vec{r},t)=i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{r},t)$

V≠0

 $k^2 = 2mE$
Scattering Theory: QM

- Describe a <u>stationary</u> state, that satisfies the incoming and outgoing wave $\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right)\psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}V(\vec{\mathbf{r}})\psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}})$
- Find a solution which is a superposition of the incoming wave, and the outgoing waves



Niels Tuning (73)

Scattering Theory: Quantum mechanics





- Superposition of incoming wave and outgoing waves
- Scattering amplitude f calculated from potential V
 - Fourier transform of potential:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) &= \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) + f(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \\ \text{ingoing outgoing } \vec{r} \\ f(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) &= -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{-i\vec{\mathbf{k}_b}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{r}}'} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \ \mathrm{d}^3 r \\ \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} &= |f(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b})|^2 \end{split}$$

Scattering Theory: Quantum mechanics



Niels Tuning (75)



More resonances

 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow R \rightarrow \pi^+ p$



Why did we need this mathematical trickery?

- That is how we see and discover particles!
- As <u>resonances</u>!





Feynman Rules



- How to calculate amplitude M ?
- The 'drawing' is a mathematical object!



Twitter

1 You Retweeted



Sabine Hossenfelder 🔮 @skdh · Feb 25

Those lines in the Feynman diagrams? They do not depict particle paths. No they don't. They're visual aids that encode long formulas by help of which you can calculate the outcome of certain experiments. Yes, it's all abstract math. Not, they do not depict particle paths.



Plan

	1) Intro: Standard Model & Relativity	11 Feb
1900-1940	2) Basis	18 Feb
	 Atom model, strong and weak force Scattering theory 	
1945-1965	3) Hadrons	10 Mar
	1) Isospin, strangeness	
	2) Quark model, GIM	
1965-1975	4) Standard Model	24 Mar
	1) QED	
	2) Parity, neutrinos, weak inteaction	
	3) QCD	
1975-2000	5) e ⁺ e ⁻ and DIS	21 Apr
2000-2015	6) Higgs and CKM	12 May

Extra: derivation of scattering amplitude f

Describe a <u>stationary</u> state, that satisfies the incoming lacksquareand outgoing wave $\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right)\psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}V(\vec{\mathbf{r}})\psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}})$

- Introduce Green function such:
- If we know G, then the solution for

$$\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right) G(\vec{\mathbf{r}} | \vec{\mathbf{r}}') = \delta(\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}')$$

$$\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right)\phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = A(\vec{\mathbf{r}})$$

 $\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right)\phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$

 $\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) + \int G(\vec{\mathbf{r}}|\vec{\mathbf{r}}') A(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \, \mathrm{d}^3 r' = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) + \phi_{sc}(\vec{\mathbf{r}})$ is indeed a sum of the 2 waves: $\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) - \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \frac{e^{ik|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|}}{|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \,\mathrm{d}^3 r'$

With: $G_{(+)} = -\frac{e^{ik|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}}{4\pi|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}$

• Describe a <u>stationary</u> state, that satisfies the incoming and outgoing wave $\left(\nabla^2 + k^2 \right) \psi(\vec{r}) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} V(\vec{r}) \psi(\vec{r})$

 $\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) - \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \frac{e^{ik|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|}}{|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \, \mathrm{d}^3 r'$

 $\frac{k|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'| \approx kr - (\vec{\mathbf{k}_{b}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}')}{\vec{\mathbf{k}_{b}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}'}$

Large r:

• f: "scattering amplitude":

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_a(\mathbf{r}) + f(\mathbf{k}_a, \mathbf{k}_b) \frac{1}{r}$$
$$\mathbf{r}(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{-i\vec{\mathbf{k}_b}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{r}'}} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}'}) \Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}'}) \, \mathrm{d}^3r$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = |\vec{f(\mathbf{k}_{a},\mathbf{k}_{b})}|^{2}$$

Differential equation became integral equation, but how do we solve it??

 Describe a <u>stationary</u> state, that satisfies the incoming and outgoing wave

$$\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right)\psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}V(\vec{\mathbf{r}})\psi(\vec{\mathbf{r}})$$

• f: "scattering amplitude":

• which we will use for:

$$\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) + f(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}$$

$$f(\vec{\mathbf{k}_{a}},\vec{\mathbf{k}_{b}}) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^{2}} \int e^{-i\vec{\mathbf{k}_{b}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{r}}'} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \, \mathrm{d}^{3}r$$

➤ How do we solve it?? Not analytic... → Perturbation series!

• 1st approximation:

$$\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) - \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \frac{e^{ik|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}'}|}}{|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}'}|} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}'}) \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}'}) \,\mathrm{d}^3 r'$$
$$f^{[1]}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{b}}) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{i(\vec{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{a}} - \vec{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}'}} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}'}) \,\mathrm{d}^3 r'$$

Scattered wave is described by Fourier transform of the potential

Niels Tuning (85)

 Let's try the Yukawa potential

$$\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) - \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \frac{e^{ik|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|}}{|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \,\mathrm{d}^3 r'$$
$$f^{[1]}(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{i(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a} - \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}'} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \,\mathrm{d}^3 r'$$

$$f(\vec{\mathbf{k}_{a}},\vec{\mathbf{k}_{b}}) = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^{2}}Z_{1}Z_{2}e^{2}\int \frac{e^{-ar'}}{r'}e^{i(\vec{\mathbf{k}_{a}}-\vec{\mathbf{k}_{b}})\cdot\vec{\mathbf{r}}'} d^{3}r' = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^{2}}\frac{4\pi Z_{1}Z_{2}e^{2}}{q^{2}+a^{2}}$$

• Yukawa:

 $V(r) = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r} e^{-ar}$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f|^2 = \frac{m^2}{(2\pi\hbar^2)^2} \left[\frac{4\pi Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{q^2 + a^2}\right]^2$$

• Coulomb $(a \rightarrow 0)$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{m^2}{(2\pi\hbar^2)^2} \left[\frac{4\pi Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{q^2}\right]^2 = \left[\frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{2mv^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}\right]^2$$

We found back the classical solution from Rutherford

Interpretation

$$\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) + f(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}$$
ingoing outgoing

• Consider again the amplitude:

(Fourier transform of potential)

$$f(\vec{k_b}, \vec{k_a}) = \frac{-m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int d\vec{r'} e^{i(\vec{k_a} - \vec{k_b}) \cdot \vec{r'}} V(\vec{r'})$$

$$V(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{r}$$

$$f(\vec{k_b}, \vec{k_a}) = -\frac{2m\alpha}{q^2} \qquad q = |\vec{k_b} - \vec{k_a}|$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{4m^2\alpha^2}{q^4}$$

$$CS_{\prime}$$

- We used quantum mechanics,
- but with relativistic quantum field theory, the concept is similar:

$$f \sim \frac{\vec{k_a} e}{\vec{l_a} \vec{l_a} \vec{l_a$$

$$f \sim e \cdot e \cdot propagator$$

Feynman diagram

Niels Tuning (87)

• Let's try an effective potential:

$$V_{eff} = V(r) + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2mr^2}$$

$$f^{res}(\theta) = \frac{(2l+1)}{k} \frac{\Gamma/2}{(E_r - E) - i\Gamma/2} P_l(\cos\theta)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f^{res}(\theta)|^2 \\ = \frac{(2l+1)^2}{k^2} \frac{\frac{\Gamma^2}{4}}{(E_r - E)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} |P_l(\cos\theta)|^2 \\ \sigma_l = \frac{4\pi(2l+1)}{k^2} \frac{\frac{\Gamma^2}{4}}{(E_r - E)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}}$$

$$\Phi(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) = \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) - \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \frac{e^{ik|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|}}{|\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}'|} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \phi_a(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \, \mathrm{d}^3 r'$$
$$f^{[1]}(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a}, \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{i(\vec{\mathbf{k}_a} - \vec{\mathbf{k}_b}) \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}'} V(\vec{\mathbf{r}}') \, \mathrm{d}^3 r'$$



Scattering to this potential can lead to a bound system, that can then "tunnel away"

> We found the non-relativistic Breit-Wigner resonance formula!

Niels Tuning (88)

Use cosmic rays

Discovery pions / muons





Discovery 'strange; particles



Discovery anti-matter

