

Authentication and Authorisation for Research and Collaboration

i18n challenges in RCauth.eu

Considerations and solutions to universal i18n mapping

David Groep reporting work by Mischa Sallé

RCauth.eu & AARC Nikhef PDP Advanced Computing Research



40th EUGridPMA Plenary Meeting May 2017



CommonName – the big challenge



Requirements

- Contain a representation of the real name of the applicant as asserted by the IdP the opaque option is not very friendly to downstream services
- Must be unique and non-reassigned
- Allow via the issuer unique identification of the entity in the stated IdP

So we construct it out of 2 or 3 elements

- 1. Readable name of the applicant (max. 40 characters)
- 2. Unique Shortened Representation of the identifier provided by the IdP (16 characters)
- 3. Optional: ensured-uniqueness sequence number (max. 3 digits)

commonName – USR of the IdP identifier



Provides for issuer-assisted traceability of people. We pick and record the attribute used, preferring:

- 1. eduPersonUniqueID attribute (scoped) from the IdP (the 'perfect' attribute, available nowhere)
- 2. eduPersonPrincipalName (scoped) attribute from the IdP (a good attribute, OK 97% of the time)
- 3. eduPersonTargetedID constructed from IdP entityID and IdP-local (but targeted) opaque value.

 This is then pushed through the "Unique Shortened Penrosentation":

This is then pushed through the "Unique Shortened Representation":

- first 16 characters of the base-64 encoded binary representation of the SHA-256 hash of the value, with any SOLIDUS ("/") characters replaced by HYPHEN-MINUS ("-") characters
- This mapping leaves 96 bits of entropy of the hash and a collision probability of 1 in 10²⁸

If the IdP gives	USR in CN RDN
40ea621a0a7355cf4fb1ca8d4f22a53d@nikhef.nl	uXmc85peL+350NPO
davidg@nikhef.nl	Kydx8KT6xc1CHjD1
https://sso.nikhef.nl/sso/saml2/idp/metadata.php!02f7dfbb9605cf549e874bce55bfe0de030e9140	Wgt0ltSuF7BAA7FM

What does the CP/CPS say?



. . .

When the applicant name so constructed contains characters outside the set of PrintableString, these characters shall be minimally-casted to their closest PrintableString equivalent or

when impractical because no single-character mapping exists –
 shall be replaced by the upper-case character "X".

commonName - readable name element



REFEDS R&S gives a subset of attributes that should be released: displayName, givenName + surname, commonName. We construct the readable name from (in order of preference)

- 1. the *displayName* attribute from the IdP
- 2. the *givenName* attribute, followed by a space, followed by the *sn* attribute from the IdP
- 3. the *commonName* (cn) attribute from the IdP and then make it printable using *java.text.Normalizer.Form.NFD* and map the remainder to "X"

If IdP sends us this UTF-8	Representation in CN RDN
Jőzsi Bácsi	Jozsi Bacsi
Guðrún Ósvífursdóttir	GuXrun Osvifursdottir
Χρηστος Κανελλοπουλος	XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX
簡禎儀	XXX

but Νικόλας Λιαμπότης did not like that ... and I understand ...



• Current java.text.Normalizer.Form.NFD and 'X-ing' the rest particularly bad for Greeks, Bulgarians, Chinese, Russians, Georgians, Serbians

ICU - International Components for Unicode (icu-project.org) appears to be better, but:

- there are many options for transliteration
- some code points shared between different languages, that prefer different transliterations
- some code points are absent even in UTF-8 causing ambiguity

Baseline proposal for RCauth from now on:

UTF-8 \rightarrow Latin-1 \rightarrow ASCII \rightarrow IA5String (we need PrintableString + "@" and minus [:/=])

It's all Greek to me!



ICU can do many things to Λιαμπότης

http://userguide.icu-project.org/transforms/general#TOC-Greek

Greek-Latin

→ Liampótēs → Liampotes

Greek-Latin/BGN → Liambótis → Liambotis

Greek-Latin/UNGEGN

 \rightarrow Liampót<u>i</u>s \rightarrow Liampotis

and the official (passport) Greek ELOT-743 transliteration is "Liampotis"

But straightforward translation is not always good



Just Any-Latin fails for Slavonic unique "sh" sounds. E.g. for 'Миша'

- with *Any-Latin* becomes 'Miša' which then translates into 'Misa' after the Latin-Ascii but you want to see 'Mischa', so you need
- first Russian-Latin/BGN, making it 'Misha', which is slightly better, then do Any-Latin (1-to-1)
- but "Russian-Latin/BGN+Serbian-Latin/BGN" is different from the reverse ...

First Any-Latin/BGN, then Any-Latin, to fix mapping to \rightarrow s and the \rightarrow s

- Բարեւ աշխարհ → Barev a**sh**kharh (with the /BGN, to ensure the "sh")
- ישראל → ysr'l (taken care of without the /BGN, otherwise the ש never makes it)

And Unicode does not distinguish the diaeresis and the umlaut

- Mühlstraße → Muhlstrasse is wrong, should have been 'Muehlstrasse'
- reünie → reunie is good, you definitely don't want 'reuenie'

As the so for stability, we keep Any-Latin here and treat all as a diaeresis

But straightforward translation is not always good



So the (for now) best combination seems to be the ordered transformation:

```
Transliterator.getInstance("Russian-Latin/BGN;"+
                                                                    ordering to retain "w" \rightarrow "sh"
      "Serbian-Latin/BGN;"+
      "Greek-Latin/UNGEGN;"+
      "[:Nonspacing Mark:] remove;"+
                                                                    Fixes greek \Lambda adding a useless space
      "Any-Latin/BGN;" +
                                                                    Retain proper "sh" when coming from
      "Any-Latin;" +
                                                                    Armenian or Hebrew by /BGN first
      "Latin-Ascii"
result.replaceAll("[^\\p{Lower}\\p{Upper}\\p{Digit} '()+,-.?@]", "X");
```

What will we get?



```
$ java -cp icu4j-59_1.jar:. transliterate2 [...]
"Jőzsi Bácsi" "Guðrún Ósvífursdóttir" "Χρηστος Κανελλοπουλος"
"簡禎儀" "毛泽东"
```

Input: Jőzsi Bácsi

Output: Jozsi Bacsi

Input: Guðrún Ósvífursdóttir

Output: Gudrun Osvifursdottir

Input: Χρηστος Κανελλοπουλος

Output: Christos Kanellopoulos

Input: 簡禎儀

Output: jian zhen yi

Input: 毛泽东

Output: mao ze dong

Organisation name – any better?



RCauth makes the SubjectDN O component based on

- schacHomeOrganisation attribute value
- organisationDisplayName from the SAML meta-data
- URI Entity ID: domain component (hostname or subdomain) of a URL, or the full URN Each truncated after 63 characters (it's not needed for uniqueness, just human use)
- schacHomeOrganisation is fine, as per spec it's RFC1035 some strange organisations will not be able to use it, but that's not an RCauth issue
- organisationDisplayName can be transliterated like the commonName
- URNs are printable string or castable, but do contain ":" which we will make into an "X"
- URL may be or contain an IDN here we propose to use punycode of this IDN from now on

xn--pxabb4d.gr (εδετ.gr) instead of (today) XXXX.gr, or the ICU 'edet.gr'

Planning



Deploy to RCauth.eu as soon as possible

No or very minor change to CP/CPS needed (it's vague enough)
 for the "O" component, the same text as used for the CN will be added

• No users yet impacted, but we need to do this before the first Greek shows up ...

Do you endorse this change to go into effect now?

Try yourself?

https://github.com/rcauth-eu/aarc-delegation-server/blob/master/delegation-server/src/main/java/org/delegserver/oauth2/generator/DNGenerator.java

Help? Ask Mischa Sallé at <msalle@nikhef.nl>

www.rcauth.eu/policy





RCauth.eu is operated by Nikhef as part of the Dutch National e-Infrastructure for Research coordinated by SURF for the benefit of the collective European Research and e-Infrastructures

Thank you Any Questions?

davidg@nikhef.nl ca@rcauth.eu



https://aarc-project.eu

