## Related topics

Physical pendulum, moment of inertia, Steiner's law, reduced length of pendulum, reversible pendulum, terrestrial gravitational acceleration

## Principle and task

By means of a reversible pendulum, terrestrial gravitational acceleration g may be determined from the period of oscillation of a physical pendulum, knowing neither the mass nor the moment of inertia of the latter.

## Equipment

Bearing bosshead
02805.00

Support rod, I 750 mm
02023.01

Bolt with knife-edge
Power supply 5 V DC/0.3 A
02049.00
11076.93

Light barrier with Counter
11207.08

Right angle clamp -PASS-
02040.55
02010.00
02002.55
02025.553

Tripod base -PASS-
Support rod -PASS-, square, I 250 mm
09936.00

## Theory

The physical pendulum (Fig.2) differs from the mathematical pendulum by the fact that the oscillating mass is not concentrated in a single point, but is distributed over a region of space.
The potential energy of a pendulum $V$ results from the potential energy of the center of gravity $\vec{S}(|\overrightarrow{A S}|=s)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{i} m_{i} \vec{r}_{i} \vec{g}=M \cdot \vec{s} \cdot \vec{g}=-M g s \cdot \cos \theta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m_{i}$ and $\vec{r}_{i}$ are the mass and the position vector of the $i$-th particle related to the axis of rotation $A ; M$ is the total mass of the pendulum and g the terrestrial gravitational acceleration.
The kinetic energy $T_{\text {kin }}$ of the physical pendulum is the sum of the kinetic energies of its particles:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k i n}=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_{i} \vec{v}_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_{i}\left(\dot{\vec{\vartheta}}_{i} \times \vec{r}_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_{i} \dot{\vartheta}_{i}^{2} \dot{r}_{i}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dot{U}_{t}$ is the angular velocity of the i-th particle, which, in case of a rigid body, is equal to $\dot{\Theta}$ for all the particles of the pendulum.

Fig. 1: Experimental set-up: Reversible pendulum.


Fig. 2: Reversible pendulum.


The moment of inertia J related to the axis of rotation A was replaced in the last transformation by the moment of inertia of the pendulum JS related to the parallel line running through the center of gravity $S$ of the axis (Steiner's law).
Applying conservation of energy to the system, one obtains:

$$
E=T_{k i n}+V=\frac{1}{2} \dot{\Theta}^{2}\left(J_{s}+M s^{2}\right)-M g s \cdot \cos \theta=\text { const }
$$

This is a differential equation of the first order, which only has analytic solutions for small oscillations ( $\cos \theta \approx 1-\theta^{2} ; C$ is a constant):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\Theta}^{2}+\frac{M g s}{J_{s}+M s^{2}} \Theta^{2}=C \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of (4) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t)=\Theta_{0} \sin (\omega t+\varphi) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the oscillation amplitude is $\Theta_{0}$, the phase is $\varphi$ and the oscillating frequency is given through:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{2 \pi}{T}=\sqrt{\frac{M g s}{J_{s}+M s^{2}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining the reduced pendulum length $\lambda_{r}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{r}=\frac{J_{s}}{M_{s}}+s \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the period $T$ of a plane physical pendulum is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{r}}{g}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The period T is thus the same as that of a mathematical pendulum ( $T_{\text {math }}=2 \pi \sqrt{ } / / g$ ) with a length of $I=\lambda_{r}$. It is obvious, that on the one hand, the period of the physical pendulum depends on its mass, in opposition to the mathematical pendulum; on the other hand, the reduced length $\lambda_{r}$ of the pendu-
lum is always larger than the distance $s$ between the center of gravity and the axis of rotation, so that the oscillating speed of the pendulum will increase when the mass is concentrated nearer to the center of the gravity.
Point A', which is situated on the prolongation of $\overrightarrow{A S}$ at a distance of $\lambda_{r}$ with respect to the axis of rotation $A$ (Fig. 2), is known as the center of oscillation. If the axis of rotation of the pendulum is displaced from A to $A^{\prime}$ (reversion), the period of the physical pendulum remains unaltered, because from equations (7) and (8) and the new distance of the axis of rotation from the center of gravity $|\overrightarrow{A S}|=\lambda_{r}-s$, it follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{A^{\prime}} & =2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{J_{s}}{M g\left(\lambda_{t}-s\right)}+\frac{\lambda_{r}-s}{g}} \\
& =2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{J_{s}}{M g \frac{J_{s}}{M s}}+\frac{J_{s}}{M g s}}=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{t}}{g}}=T_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

A physical pendulum thus always has, for every axis of rotation A, a center of oscillation $A^{\prime}$; the period is the same if both points act as axis of rotation ( $T_{A}=T_{A^{\prime}}$ ). Furthermore, in this experiment, the periods are the same in case of symmetry (the bearing axes are equidistant of the center of gravity $S$ ); that is: $T_{1}=T_{2}$ (cf. Fig. 3).Furthermore, it follows from Fig. 3 that on the one hand, near the center of gravity, the period tends towards infinite and on the other hand, that there exists an axis of rotation (for $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ ) for which the period is minimum.

In Fig. 3, the modification of the moment of inertia and the shifting of the center of gravity due to the displacement of the bearing sleeves over the support rod was not taken into account (however, the basic pattern remains unchanged). This error becomes evident during the control measurement of period $T_{1}$ around axis of rotation 1 , the value of which, $T_{1}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\right)$, is obviously different from the value obtained for the symmetrical case $T_{1}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\right)$.


Fig. 3: Period $T_{2}$ as a function of the position of the axis of rotation of the physical pendulum.

Fig. 4: Determination of the reduced pendulum length.


## Example for measurement:

Bearing sleeve 1: distance between the axis and the tip of the pendulum: 9.5 cm
$T_{1}=1.340 \mathrm{~s}\left(=T_{1}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$
Control measurement for the asymmetric case:
$T_{1}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\right)=1.324 \mathrm{~s}$, for $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}=42.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Fig.3)
If one measures simultaneously the periods $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ for oscillations around both axes, for different distances $\lambda$ ' of the axes of rotation, as shown in Fig. 4, the intersection of both graphs for the asymmetric case allows to determine both the reduced length of the pendulum $\lambda_{r}=\lambda_{a}^{\prime}$ and the corresponding period $T$. If equation (8) is converted according to the terrestrial gravitational acceleration g , one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\left(\frac{2 \pi}{T}\right)^{2} \lambda_{r} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, terrestrial gravitational acceleration $g$ can be determined from the coordinates of the point of intersection in Fig. 4.

The values
$T=T_{1}=T_{2}=(1.325 \pm 0.001) \mathrm{s}$ and
$\lambda_{r}=(43.6 \pm 0,1) \mathrm{cm}$
yield the following result:
$g=(9.80 \pm 0.04) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ (value given in literature: $\left.g=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$

